Dear Chief Curry:

It is our pleasure to send you tearsheets from the February issue of FIAYBOY Magazine and call your attention to the Playboy Interview "MARK LAND" in which you are mentioned.

We would be delighted to hear -and would certainly value -- any comments you might have concerning this interview we might use in our Letters to the Editor column.

Cordially,

a. C. Spectorsky

Editorial Director

PLAYBOY / 232 east obio · chicago Il

PLAYBOY INTERVIEW: MARK LANE

a candid conversation with the fiery attorney and author of "rush to judgment," the documented, best-selling indictment of the warren report

News of the appointion of John and twist circles, by those who cannot Interned Kennedt had bordly reached a say! Il hen I ee Havery Oweld, charged more American when he weste: "Our errolentials as a confixed people stand suspect before the world . . but the real depth of the disorter that has befollen in connect yet he magined. In its 188th year, the Republic has fallen upon nushingkably evil than, and great muchan wen whether more enventions will tack

where it was generally assumed that the murders of Kennedy, Owedld and Offices I. D. Topfut were all pieces in onstrous, compilatorial pigata puzzle. allege that the President had been musdeted by a plot originating within he other members of the cabal. Tax cabled from Washington to Moscow on Novemher 25, 1963, just three days after the President Kennedy's death allow one to assume that this murder was planned and carried out by the ultra right wing, fascist stamuck any step anned at the cause of international tensions and the impo princy absunded. The London Daily Telegraph's Halles correspondent to posted an Navember 26 that "World opinion as work as American is not fully on the fast of the local authorities can

satisfied about this terrible effect. This has resulted in an elephantine attempt don Daily Mail declared editorially that "facts can be produced that a right-wing plot against the President had cound his article cutified "Owneld Council Have Been Alone in the Shooting," while Liberation wrote that "There is no doubt that President Leamedy fell man a tret-He was the werms of a plot. And in this plot it is coulent that the Dallas police protectors of gangaters like Ruby, played a role one can only describe as question able. They created a delendant, then allowed one of their stool pigeons to

In hasty pursuit of a scapegoal, convervatives and reactionaries—at home of well as abroad—were eager to blane liberals and leftists, who returned the charges. To dishel such dissing speculation, President Johnson appointed an ultra

Surstinous Presidential Commission headed by Chief Induc Ful II ouet, to Allen Dulles: John McCloy, Januar As and Representatives Gereld Futtl and Hele Buggs. J. Lee Rankin, Januar Solui tor Central of the United States, was

The very appaintment of such a blue-tablen investigative body allayed many fears, at least in America. Ten months after the assessination, when the Wanen Commission released its findings, Ameri-There had been no compitary, the Comacting along and matemally, had mudezed the President, Inch Kuley had hilled tation. The perdut was in, and it two almost unanimously accepted-in the United Mates, Two months later, when the Commission released its 26 wil unes of supporting evidence-a moster 17,815 pages-the case appeared forever closed A grateful public hoiled the Commission for settling its gnaving rumors. Harrison Selectury, antistant managing editor of The New York Times echaed popular sentiment when he serote in the Times. 'No material



Report as the 'Warren Whitewash'; it may be ranked with Teapot Dome as a synonym for political cover-up and cynical manipulation of the truth."



tion who were questioned and were able is. Of those, 58 and they came from behind the fence on the grassy knoll."



"There were at least two awassins. The evidence is conclusive on that score. But compracy, and this desire defeated its investigative function,"

question now remains anished so far as . the death of President Kennedy is can-But historians know that often enough, the suite they undy a complex event, the

less they bung about it. For each and tion anapored seven more spring up to tube its place. The Watten proestruction. staff of 26 and complete access to the numive investigative opponents of the United States Greenment, was the large est historical inquiry ever undertaken, Inevitably, it would produce a buter mountain at conflicting reports, contradictery testimony, expert disagreement and ananceried questions. By publishing -containing considerable evidence con-tradicting its own budgings-the Warren Commission implicitly acknowledged the took so long to unfeer. Dreumions of

their validity may excupy scholars for crowin came just from England. The day the Report was tward, Lord Bestrand Russell denounced it as a whiteweek and subsequently formed a "Who Killed Kennedy?" committee to pursue its own investigation of the assassmatton And He in 1961, Hugh Trevor-Roper, Regins Professor of History at Oxford which must be appresed" University, published a scattling attack these doubts and demands to New York land's establishmentarian London Sunday Times. According to Trever-Roper, the Report was not only inaccurate but "Javenly." In America, less prone to conspintonel views of history then intriguerife Europe, criticism was slower in coming. The first two books attacking the Commusion, Thomas Buchanan's "Who Killed Kennedy?" and Joschim Joesten's "Ownld: Amassin or Fall Guy?," contained wild speculations that generally discredited them as serious criticism But the flood was only beginning in October 1965, Pulitzer Prize-winning neuman Sylvan Fox, then-city editor of the New York World-Telegram and Sun, published a paperback entitled "Unanswered Questions About President Kennedy's Avassination." On May 9, 1966, Harold Weisberg, a former Senate investigator, privately published "White wash: A Report on the Warren Report Seven weeks later, Viking Press published "Inquest," by Edward Jay Epstein, a 31year-old Cornell graduate student. Originally Epstern's master's thesis, the book sold moderately well. Then, on August 15. Holt, Rinehart & Winston publish Mark Lane's "Rush to Judgment," which has since forged its awy to the top of the best-seller list. And on September 8, World published "The Oswald Affair,"

by Leo Sauvage, American correspond

ent for Le Figure of Paris.

This burney of books prompted The New York Times to comment editorially on September 1, 1966, that "Tubate on the accuracy and adequary of the Barren Commission's work is none obsteamshing the discresions of a level; small industry in this country." The original bond of lonely doubters had multiplied to a swe ermy. to drastically lead the elemete

changed that The New York Times White House correspondent, Tom Wick er, commented on September 25, 1966 "A public discussion group in New York sought to hold a sound table water about the Worren Report. . . . The mejor difficulty for the group zees in finding anyone of statue who sees willing to delend the Wairen Report and its indings," Wicker went on to demond appointment of a new Communion to ber 28, New York Congressmen Theo dore R. Kupferman, eiting the slee of critical books on the Report, asked the

House of Representatives to establish a Senate-House Committee to conduct its own investigation of the Warren Report Shortly thereafter, Life also called for a propering of the investigation. In the Naumber 1966 tone of The Progressive Harmon Salisbury, who had earlier feli that "no material question remained unsolved," revened his field and wrote that he was consumeed "there are anestronsome of them of major importance-

atterney Mark Lone He has been improtigating the association since early December 1963, and since the publication of "Rush to Judgment," he has been called everything from a liar to a nation al hero. In a lead review for the Chicago Tribune, Ion Waltz of the Northwestern University I aw School faculty wrote: "This latest critique of the Warren Commission Report is truly horrible. [It] passes beyond the merely superficial being frequently dishonest as well. Lane's fevered arguments have no semblano of logic or even of organization. He presents a phantamagoric hodgepodge of unrelated and often wholly irrelevant second-guessing. If, in assembling his collection of quibbles, Lane had any vitimale purpose other than confusion and profit, it goes unstated . . . the catalog of this book's distortions and apparent fabrications, large and small, is a long

and sorry one . . . no one will thank Lane for his book." But many people did -including Norman Mailer, who concluded his review in Book Week with a hurrah: "Three cheers for Mark Lane. His work is not without a trace of that stature we call heroic. . . . Lone's book proves once and forever that the assassi nation of President Kennedy is more of a mystery today then when it occurred." He called Lane's 400 pages of evidence "staggering facts. . . . It one tenth of be judged by history to be a standal pury then Tentul Done."

The hub of all this controvers, Mark Lane, was horn 39 years are in New York City, where he has lived work through Europe and America lecturing on the assessmetion, frequently appearing on TV and radio tells shows, and stopping off occasionally in Dennuch with his young seite, whom he met while in Copenhagen three years ago. They plen to with in California shortly After serving to Army Intelligence

during World War Two, Lane attended Long Island University and received his For 12 years he practiced low from a storefront in East Harlen; they, in 1958. he gained local prominence when he defective new being brutally treated by attendants. Gazernor Rockefeller opeved hearings on the issue, and a num guards were demissed. In 1960, Lane your elected to the New York State Assembly, representing the black-and-white ghettos of East Hurlem and Yorkville, He ran with the strong endorsewent of Eleanor Roosevelt and Senator Herbert Lehman, with whom he had earlier helped establish a reform morement within the New York Democratic

The one man most responsible for Perty. He also had the endorsement of Senator John F. Kennedy, who mused into the White House at the some time Lane ottended his first levislative sevious in Albany, In 1961, Lone became the fint legislator to be arrested on a Freedom Ride-in Jackson, Mississippi. After two stormy years in the state as-sembly, he found himself ostracized as a troublemaker by a bipartisan preponderance of his tellow assemblymen. and did not run for re-election When President Kennedy was assassi-

nated, Lane initiated what his supporters have termed "his lonely crusade." involvement began in December, when Mrs. Marguerite Oswald appointed him -at no fee-to represent her dead son's interests at the Warren Commission hearings. The Commission refused to accept Lane as a defense attorney, but it did permit him to testify. Thus becan his three-year investigation-independent if not importial-into the circumstances surrounding President Kennedy's assas singtion. Lane transled to Dallas eight times, interviewing scores of witnesses. assisted by a group of amateur investiga tors who called themselves the "Citizen: Committee of Inquiry." The fruits of his researches and his conclusions comprise his book "Rush to Judgment"-and a film of the same title to be released this

month PLAYBOY interviewed Lane in his twoand-a-half-room walk-up apartment in that the moment they heard shots they looked at the grassy knoll, because the shots seemed to originate there. And each one of these three men, independently, said he saw a puff of white smoke coming from behind the wooden fence. A Dallas police officer, who was among the first to arrive behind the fence just after the shooting, vaid he smelled gunpowder there, and Senator Ralph Yarorough of Texas stated that when his car passed the grassy knoll after the shooting, he also smelled gunpowder. In fact, the majority of witnesses to the assassination who could place the shots said—to the Federal or local police, or in their testimony-that the shots came from behind the wooden fence. MAYBOY: The majority? Can you give us

a numerical breakdown?

LANE: There were 90 witnesses to the assassination who were questioned and who were able to give an assessm ent of the origin of the shots. Of those, 58-or almost two thirds-said the shots came from behind the wooden fence on the grassy knoll. I think the most significant fact here was the immediate reaction of witnesses to the shors. Twenty-hye witnesses gave statements to the FBI or the Dallas police on November 22 and 23, and of those, 22 said the shots came from behind the wooden fence on the oll, not from the Book Depository, And there were many others who never made statements but by their own actions indicated that the shots came from the knoll. For example, 17 Dallas deputy sheriffs ran right past the Book Depository just as the shots were fired, and hed behind the wooden fence to begin their search. One Dullas policeman, M. Smith, ran to the parking lot he-Commission contradicting its own star hind the knoll and there encountered a stranger who produced credentials to show he was a Secret Service agent. Smith couldn't subsequently recall the man's name, but his account is more or less corroborated by two other Dallas

painstaking research that there were no cret Service agents around the knoll or parking lot at that time and suggested that an assassin may have escaped using fake Secret Service credentials. Certainly tomething was going on in that area. The Dallas police even established a mand post behind the fence on the knoll, and they maintained it for more than two and a half hours. So there is overwhelming evidence that at least one shot came from the knoll

officers. However, Sylvia Meagher, an

independent investigator, found after

PLAYBOY: But didn't the Commission have evewitness evidence that shors did come from the sixth-floor window of the Book Depository?

LANE: The Commission had one "star" witness who testified that a man fired from that window. He was Howard L. Brennan, a 45-year-old steamfitter. There was some other evidence that shots came from there, but it was vague and frequently contradictory, so the mission relied largely on the reseimony of Brennau He told the Commission he was sented on a concrete wall across the street from the Book Deposi tory, 107 feet from the building and about 120 feet from the sixth-floor win dow. The Commission concluded that this placed him "in an excellent position to observe anyone in the window." Brenman said he heard a noise he at first thought was a motorcycle backfire-so, naturally, he looked up to the with floor of the Depository, and saw a man sten ing behind the window firing a rifle. Breunan signed an affidavit to that effect on November 22, swearing that the man

in the window "was standing up and resting against the left window sill." However, the Commission concluded the window was open only at the lot tom. So if Oswald, or anybody else, fired through that window from a standing position, he would have had to fire ough the glass-which was unbroken The Commission dithered out of this one by determining that "although Brennan testified that the man in the window was standing when he fired the shots most probably he was either sitting or kneeling." The reason they gave was that the window ledge was only shout a foot and a half from the floor, thus creating the illusion from the street below that a person was standing rather than sitting or kneeling behind the window. But Brennan himself invalidated this explanation, for he swore he saw the man both stand up and sit down-and lidraw from the window more than

witness on a vital point of his testimour -the position of the assessin at the time of the crime MAYBOY: Important as it may be, this is just one point, on which anyone could be mistaken. Was Brennan's testimony inconsistent in other respects? LANE: Yes, it was When Brennan was taken to the police line-up on November

22, to pick out the man he claimed to have seen in the window, Oswald was in the line-up, but Brennan failed to make a positive identification. When Bernnan later testified before the Commission, he said he had known it was Oswald all along-but didn't select him from the police line-up because of his fear that the assissination was a Communist plot and "if it got to be a known fact that I was an eyewitness, my family or L either one, might not be safe." In other words, Brennan admitted to the Commission that he had deliberately lied to the Dallas police on November 22 when he told them he could not definitely identify Oswald in the line-up. And yet the Commission chose to believe his subsequent identification of Oswald as the man in the window. In any court of law, Bren-

nan would almost certainly have been discredited as a witness. The Commission concluded that Brennan was able to identify a man standing behind a half closed window 120 feet away from him This was the Commission's star witness to support their conclusion that Lee Harvey Oswald fired at the President from the sixth-floor window of the Book PLAYBOY: Do you think that no shots

actually came from the Depository? LANE It's not as simple as that. I believe there is no convincing evidence that Oswald fired a gun from the sixth-floor window of the Book Depository or anywhere else on the day of the assassina tion: but I'm not contending that it was possible for any shots to have come from that window. Certainly some shots were fired from a location somewhere behind the limousine. All I'm saying is that shots also came from the grassy knoll, and to prove that shots came from the knoll is not to disprove that its may have come from elsewhere as well But this is most inconvenient for the Government's case, because it means there must have been at least two assassins, since Oswald couldn't fire at the President from both the grassy knoll and the Depository Building. So even if he was involved-and there's not sufficient proof that he was—he must have had an accomplice. This means the Commis sion's "single assassin" theory flies right out the window-along with, I might add their conclusion that there is no credible evidence that the shots came from anywhere but the Book Depository. The evidence proves that some shotsding the fatal one-came from behind the wooden fence on the grassy

PLAYBOY: Is there any physical evidence to back up this assertion. LANS: Yes: the effect of the fatal shot on

the President himself. The spectator perhaps closest to the President when the fatal bullet struck was Charles Brehm a Dallas salesman. He was standing about 20 feet away, to the left of the limousine. facing the grassy knoll. Brelim was inter viewed on television in Dallas, and I spoke with him later. He told me in a med interview that a portion of the President's skull was driven back and sharply to the left, over the rear of the President's car. Unless the laws of physics were temporarily suspended, this offers impressive corroboration for those who say the shot came from the right front of the car-in substantially the opposite direction from the Depository.

PLAYBOY: Did the Commission call Brehm as a witness? LANE No, he was never called as a witness, and no Commission lawyer ever

questioned him. PLAYBOY: Is there any photographic evidence to support your contention that

Lower Manhattan. We began by asking for his thoughts on the integrity of the Hanen Commission

PLAYBOY: In your book, you wrote that × the Watten Commission-composed of r н

some of the most distinguished figures in American lite--- covered itself with diame." Are you occusing the Commission of lying to the American peop tANE I would not care to say that the Commission fied, but-however distinguished its members may be-it did issue a laise report. I know this because I carefully compared the one-volume Report with the 26 volumes of evidence that "supports" it and, in many cases, I lound no relationship whatever herwer the Commission's conclusions and the Commission's evidence. The most innocent interpretation of its shortcomings. as Hogh Trevor-Roper expresses it in his introduction to my book, is that the Commission members did what some poor historians do: They start with a preconcrived theory-in this case, that Oswald was the lone assissin of President Kenneily-and sort out all the evidence supporting that theory, in the process unconsciously rejecting any cor fact or interpretation. I don't know if that's what happened here, but it's one explanation and, compared with some of the other theories that have been adcanced to account for the Commission's behavior, a relatively comforting one, PLAYBOY Haven't your critics accused you of committing the same sin you impute to the Commission-selecting from the mass of testimony those facts that

agree with your preconceptions and dis-LANE Yes. But my book is far more thoroughly documented than the Warren Commission Report, and none of the hundreds of book reviewers across the country who've examined it has yet been able to discover a single inaccuracy, distortion or out-of-context statement. And let me add right here that the statements I will make in this interview are based either on the Warren Commission's 26 volumes of evidence or on filmed interviews I conducted in Dallas that will appear in the documentary film Rush to Judgment that I made with Emile de-Antonio. So I don't expect you to pro-

cord with me on fairh. PLAYBOY: You concluded in your book that the Warren Commission's "criteria for investigating and accepting evidence were related less to the intrinsic value of the information than to its paramount need to allay fears of conspiracy." Do you believe there was a conspiracy to kill President Kennedy?

tANE: Yes, I do. A conspiracy, as defined by the law, is simply two or more persons acting in concert to secure an illegal end. There were at least two assassins. The evidence is conclusive on that score. The Commission wanted to disprove a conspiracy, and this desire defeated its investigative function. Remember, a Gallup poll taken shortly after the assetsmation revealed that the majorny of Americans believed there was no lone assissin, but an organized plot to kill the tivion was determined to allay bers, John

President. It was this public fear of a conquiracy, and all it implied, that the One of the Commission's men I. McClov, said it was vital for the Com mission to "show the world that America is not a banana republic, where a goveriment can be clianged by conspiracy."

And another member, Sciiator John Sherman Cooper, said right at the outset that one of the Commission's major tasks was "to lift the cloud of doubts that had been cast over American institutions." PLAYBOY: What was so wrong about the Commission's trying to dispel false con-

LANE: Nothing, if the rumors were false. The trouble was that from the very beginning the Commission operated on the assumption that Osweld did it and did it alone, and relegated all facts to the contrary into this "false rumor" category. Inother words, the Commission had con-cluded who killed Kennedy before they even began their investigation PLAYBOY: Let's get down to the facts of

the assassination. One of the main points of your book is that the fatal shot was not fired from the sixth-floor window of the Book Depository, as the Warren Commission concludes. Do you have any evidence that shots came from

mewhere else? LANE: The Warren Commission said up. equivocally that there was no credible evidence even suggesting that the shots came from anyplace else. This is vital to their whole case, because if the shots did originate from two locations. Oswald couldn't have been the "lone awawin." Let's look at the evidence. When the President was shot, his limousine had passed the Book Depository. To the right and in front of the Presidential limousine was a grassy knoll tupord by a wooden fence. Some time before the motorcade reached the area, a young woman named Julia Ann Mercer saw a truck at the base of the grassy knoll.

illegally parked halfway up on the side-walk, protruding into Elm Street and partially blocking traffic. Dallas police men were standing a short distance away but they didn't move the truck on Miss Mercer saw a man leave the truck and climb the grassy knoll. Another man remained in the truck. She drove off, and the truck was gone before the motorrade appeared. In an affidavit for the Dallas sheriff's office, she later said that the man

was carrying "what appeared to be a gun case" about three and a half to four feet long. Miss Mercer was never called as a witness or even questioned by the Com mission. All we have is her affidavit signed before the Dallas sheriff's depart-

ment on November 22. I have not been alile to find her. She's no longer in PLAYBOY: But this is just one women's

LANE Yes, we begin with just one wom an's testimony, but let me show how it his into a pattern of evidence proxing that at least one of the shots was fired at the President from the grassy knoll A rathead near named I to Benters was in a railroad tower overlooking the knoll, and he restified that he say two men standing behind the wooden fence just before the shots were fired. Bowers did appear before the Commission and Is testified that the moment firing broke out something attracted his attention to the fence. He described it as "something which was out of the ordinary which attracted my eye for some reason

which I could not identify." When asked for details, he said he had seen "nothing that I could purpoint as having hap-pencel that——" Here he was inter-rupted by a Commission lawyer, When I requently conducted a filmed and tape-recorded interview with Mr. Bowerin Dallas, I told him that for a war and a half I'd wondered what the end of that sentence was about to be. He told me, "Yes, I was interrupted by the Commis-sion lawyers. Evidently they didn't want to get the facts. I was just going to tell that at the time the shots were fired. I looked at the fence and saw a pulf of smoke, or liash of light, just when the shots were fired." Bowers gave me a de-scription of the two men on the knoll that doversils with the description Julia Ann Mercer gave the Dallas sherill's office of the two men in the truck. And another witness, J. G. Price, a post office employee, told the Dallas sheriff's office nutes after the assassination, that he was standing on top of the Terminal Annex Building on Dealey Plaza-over looking the route of the Presidential motorcade-when the shots were fired Price later told me that when he heard

was carrying something in his hand that could have been a gun PLAYBOY: So you have three witnesses who contradict the Commission's conclusion that the shots came only from the Book Depository, Why are you sure these three are right, and all the witness es the Warren Commission relied on are wrong?

gunfire, his attention was instantly drawn

me, he said he saw a man run from he

hind the wooden fence and dash across

a parking lot, disappearing behind the

ok Depository. Price also said the man

to the grassy knoll. In an interview wi

tane. There are many more than three. For example, three railroad employees were standing on a railroad bridge running across Elm Street above and in front of the Presidential limousine. They all said to me in filmed and taped interviews, or to Federal or local authorities,

the lard shot came from the right front of the Presidential limenance LANG Ves. there is. There's an eight Dallas aurarem photographer, Abraham Zammier, some frames of which were published in Late. It was taken while the shots were being fired. Frame 313 of the film-which appears in Volume 18 of the Commission's evidence-shows the President just as the latal shot struck his head. An examination of the two subsequent branes-314 and 315-would several whether he was thiven backward or lorward by the impact of the bullet, As the frames are presented in the 26 volumes, they seem to support the Commission's contempon that the shots rame from the rear-that the President was suddenly driven forward. But the Commission created that illusion by transposing frames 311 and 515, and by mistabeling them. Actually, the original film shows that the President was driven back and to the left. One of our investi gators analyzed the Commission frames and wrote to | Edger Hoover pointing out the deception. Mr. Hoover repliedwell, here's the letter. Read it yoursell. PLAYBOY: The letter, on FBI stationery and signed "John Edgar Hoover, Director," reads, in part: "You are correct in the observation that frames labeled \$15 and 315 of Commission Exhibit 885 are transposed in Volume 18 as noted in your letter." LANE There's another interesting aspect of the Zapruder film: The Commissi published most of the frames, but they failed to publish frames 208 to 211. A street sign visible in frame 207 is only partially visible in frame 212, because Zapruder panned his camera to photo graph the moving Presidential limousine In Irame 212, sharp lines of stress and denly appear on the back of the sign -which stood in a direct line of sight between the grassy knoll and the Presidential limousing—and the lines lengthen and deepen in succeeding frames. They appear to radiate from a spot in the lower left portion of the sign, but that portion is no longer visible by the time frame 212 was photographed These stress lines appear to be the result of the impact of a bullet. Thus, what the Commission lailed to publish-frames 208 to 211-could well be photographs of a portion of the sign struck by a bullet hred from the grassy knoll: This sign was removed from Dealey Plaza just after the assassination and has since disappeared. The question of these missing frames was brought before one of the Commission's lawyers last year by David Lifton, a graduate engineering student and an associate of the Citizens Committee of Inquiry. The lawyer was so concerned that he wrote to Lee Rankin and Norman Redlich, two other Com

mission attorneys, admitting that Lifton's evaluation of the stress signs as a result of bullet impact "seemed plausible to me." This Commission attorney com-mented: "I have no recollection that anybody considered what happened to the tien, or that anybody was aware of the fact that the frames were omitted, or that there were peculiar marks on the back of the sign." He understood the significance of the stress marks quite clearly, for he added: "Since Oswald could not have fired last enough to have hit the sign with one shot at frame 208 and the President with another shot before frame 225, when the President came out om behind the sign, the notion is that ncone else must have been firing at the President, too," Mr. Redlich's reply was typical: "All of the evidence which we have indicates quite conclusively that no shots were fired from the front." In other words, since we start with the immutable presumption that Oswald was the lone assassin, firing from the rest, all coutrary evidence must be dismissed PLAYBOY: Is there any evidence that some shots could have come from other locations, such as the railroad overpass? 16: Some shots may have originated from other locations. My only point is that it's impossible to conclude there was

road overpass without attracting the at tion of the numerous witnesses there, They would have seen and heard someone firing a rifle, since there is no easy place to hide on the overpass. But I do elieve shots came from both the front and the rear. It's possible that some shots from the rear originated in the building housing the Dallas sheriff's department -as at least one eyewitness, Charles Brehm, told me he thought at the time. But let me make clear that to say shots might have come from that buil lding is not to imply a sheriff or policeman fired them-any more than the Commission's conclusion that shots came from the Book Depository Building implicates any publishing firms with offices there. Let's just say that Dallas law-enforce-

a lone assassin, Oswald or anyone else. after we determine that even one shot originated elsewhere. But I don't see how

shots could have been fired from the rail

ment officers would hardly be eager to investigate the possibility that the President of the United States was shot from one of their own buildings. PLAYBOY: Are you charging, in effect, that the Warren Commission fied-by ignoring all evidence to the contrary-when it uded that the President was shot only from the sixth-floor window of the

Book Depository? LANE: "Lied" is not my word. After all, as news media have assured us for three years now, the members of the Warren Commission are all honorable men. Bur concerning Oswald's presence in that window, there is one piece of crucial evidence that could prove fairly conclu-

came from the grassy knoll PLAYBOY: But the Warren Commission later concluded that the throat wound sively whether he was there or not. A was, in fact, an exit wound, supporti their conclusion that the shots came few seconds before the first shot hit the

President, a Poluroid photograph was taken of the Presidential lin was developed on the seene, and shows the sixth-floor window of the Book Desitory moments before the shots were fired. The picture was taken by a Dallas resident named Mary Moorman. The 26 volumes contain a report from a Dallas deputy sheriff, John Wiseman, who requisitioned the picture from Miss

Moorman, On November 23, Wiseman reported to the Dallas sheriff's department that he had looked at the picturebut he was never asked what it showed His affidavit does state that the photo shows the window where the gun was alleged to have been firing, but it doesn't mention whether anyone is in the window. This picture was turned over by the Dallas deputy sheriff to agents of the Secret Service. It has never been published. No one will say where it is. It is not available in the National Archives, Presumably, the Govern has it somewhere, but nobody is talking I think it's safe to assume that if this photo, taken a few seconds before the shots were fired, showed Lee Oswald or anyone else shooting at the President from the Depository window, it would from the Depository window, it wouse probably have been published on the cover of the Warren Commission Report. Certainly it would have been published somewhere as irrefutable proof of Os

wald's guilt-and the origin of at least some of the shots. In light of the picture's suppression, you can draw your own conclusions as to what it did or did not PLAYBOY: Did the nature of President Kennedy's wounds shed any light on the origin of the shoes?

LANE That's a key question. Remember at the moment the first shot was fired, President Kennedy was facing to his front and to his right-toward the grassy knoll. Even the Comm this. Now, if the bullet that struck his throat came from the knoll, then the wound would have to be an entrawound. On the other hand, if the bullet came from the Book Depository Build ing, behind the Presidential limthen it would have to be an exit wound Every doctor at Dallas' Parkland Hos-pital who examined the wound in President Kennedy's throat and made a statement to the press on the day of the assassination said the throat wound was an entrance wound. That means the bullet entered from the front. As I said. the Commission itself concedes that the President was looking in the general direction of the knoll at that moment Thus, the medical evidence supports the witness testimony of people in Dealey Plaza that some shots—at least this shot

from the Book Depository.

LANE Sure they did. But just saying it's so doesn't ma ake it so, even when it's said by-as I think you called them-"some of the most distinguished figures in American life." The fact is, the Commission's conclusion that the wound was an exit wound was as questionable as the rest of their findings. They reached it because they had to; otherwise their whole case against Oswald as the lone assassin would fall apart. And to make their exit-wound cone usion stick, they conveniently disposed of-or ignored-all the embarrassing contradictory evidence

PLAYBOY: If the throat wound was an en-

trance wound, what happened to the bullet? None was found in the President's body. LANE: Whether or not a bullet remained in the President's body can best, perhaps only, be determined by an examination of the autopsy X rays. But that evidence ing at law "the best evidence -has been suppressed, and we are tell with the opinions of military physicians The medical authorities who con the autopsy at the Bethesda, Maryland Naval Hospital took one roll of 120 film 22 color photographs, 18 black-and-white prints, and 11 X rays of the Presi-dent's body. Those photographs and X rays could answer the question of where the bullets came from, Naval Command er J. J. Humes, the doctor at the Naval Hospital who had the photos taken to assist him in determining the path of the bullet through the President's body, testified they were taken from him by agents of the Secret Service before they were even developed. The X rays and photographs have never been seen by any member of the Warren Commissi nor by any of its attorneys. This in-credible fact is reluctantly corroborated by former Commission Counsel Arlen ecter, in an interview in the October 10, 1966, issue of U.S. News & World Report. You'll recall that the where its of the photos was unknown until

early last November, when, according

to The New York Times of November 2, the Justice Department "disclosed that photographs and X rays taken of

after his assassination were turned over to the National Archives . . by the Kennedy family." It's comforting to learn that the photos haven't dis-

appeared, but no non-Government in-

nt Kennedy's body at the autopsy

vestigator will be able to examine the material for at least five years, Anyway, the main point is not what the photos and X rays show, but why the Warren Commission never tried to secure them in the first place. The Commission's failure to examine them epitomizes their inadequate investigation. If they had ne everything else perfectly, this one vital omission would still be enough to discredit their work PLAYBOY: Why didn't the Warren ission ask to examine the photos

LANE: I don't know. Perhaps they thought that the evidence might confuse them × It might even interfere with their tide preconceptions, When President Johnson was asked this at a press comb r

he replied, "I think every American can understand the reasons why we wouldn't want to have the garments, the records and everything paraded out in every sewing circle in the country to be exploited and used without serving any od or official purpose." Well, no one has suggested that the evidence he utilized in that fashion-merely that the Commission should have seen the evidence before they signed their Report.
PLAYSOY: What did the doctors who conducted the antopsy say about the Presi-

dent's wounds? LANG At host, nothing-for the simple reason that the Government silenced them. Humes, who conducted the autopsy, told a New York Times reporter he had been forbidden to talk" by agents of the FBI. Doctors at Parkland Hospital who originally said the throat wound was an entrance wound were similarly visited by the FBI and told to make no more public statements. In fact, if you turn to Volume 17 of the Warren Comon testimony, you'll find a most extraordinary certificate written by Dr. Humes. It reads: "I, James J. Humes, certify that I have destroyed by burning certain preliminary draft notes relating to Naval Medical School Antopsy Re port A63-272 . . . " Think about this for a moment. Here we have a commander in the United States Navy, who is also a doctor, assigned to perform the the time the governor, who was sitting on autopsy on the assassinated President of the United States, burning his draft notes on the autopsy—really, our notes— and being silenced by the FBI. And we a jump-seat in front of Kennedy, was hit This means the shot that wounded Gov have crucial evidence, the X rays and photographs, never examined by the Commission. If Oswald was the lone assassin, if all the shots came from the Book Depository, if everything is as cut and dried as the Commission assures us it is, then why the mysters? Why the official suppression? Are we really 17 years from 19847 If you wonder why Dr. Humes burned his notes, I refer you to the state-Warren Commission lawyers, Arlen Specter, in that interview with U.S.

fore. No doubt he was out on a house call when Roosevelt died, and therefore lacked the prerequisite experience that would have taught him that valuable Government documents are not to be destroyed. PLAYBOY: Have you tried to reach Humes yourself to find out why he burned his

News & World Report. Here Specier

explains that Humes "had never per-

formed an autonsy on a President'

LANE: I wrote to him but never received

PLAYBOY: Is there any physical evidence to support the Commission's conclusion that Oswald was the lone assassin? tane Only Exhibit number 399. PLAYBOY: Which is?

LANE: Exhibit 399 of the Warren Commission Report is a bullet that is the only substantial link between the assassion and the Mannlicher-Carcano rifle the Commission claims belonged to Os wald. There are some bullet fragments that the Commission also attempted to link to the Mannlicher-Carcano, but the whole body of ballistics factature dem onstrates that they are valueless for purposes of identification. The significant of Exhibit 599, however, goes beyond the fact that it was used in an effort to tie Owald to the murder. The Commis sion's whole engle-assasin theory rests on the fact that this bullet hit both Presi-

dent Kennedy and Governor Connally, LANE Because the Zapruder film shows that the maximum time that could have separated the wounding of the President ted of the governor was 1.8 seconds The expert who tested the alleged awassinution weapon for the Government said it required a minimum of 2.5 seconds simply to work the holt of the Careano riffe. This was the minimum interval between the two shots, not including the time necessary to aim: thus Oswald could not have fired twice in less than 23 secds. But the Warren Commi ssion was faced with the demonstrable fact that, at most, only 1.8 seconds clapsed between the time President Kennedy was shot and

ernor Connally was fired by somebody else. As the Commission's own counsel I. Lee Rankin, put it: "To say that they were hit by separate bullets is synony mous with saving that there were two as sassine." The Commission resolved this dilemma with an imaginative invention that one bullet struck the President in the back of his neck, exited through the front of his throat, and then struck the governor, whose reaction to being wounded was delayed. The bullet passed to the governor's back, shattering his fifth rib into multiple fragments, exited through his chest, and passed through

his right wrist, smashing the wristbone struck his thighbone and lodged in his left thigh. The bullet that did all this, Exhibit 599, is an almost pure, pristine, undamaged bullet. If you look at its photograph in the Warren Report, you'll are that it isn't even dented PLAYBOY: You mean this bullet made sev-

en wounds in two men, breaking three different bones, and wasn't materially damaged in the process? LANE I don't mean it-the Warren Com-

is preposterous-and so did several of the ductors who examined Councilly and his X rays at Parkland and Bethesda PLAYBOY: Isn't it burely possible that a illet could do everything the Commission was this one did and yet emerge

LANE Not even burely, I'm afraid. The Commission's own experts fired other bulkers from the Carcano into a variety of substances, and in each case the huller came out deformed. And the Com-mission never tried to have one hullet do everything that they claim number \$99 did One Commission expert, Dr. Alfred G. Olivier, a vetermirium, fired a buller through a gelatin block supposedly representing the President's neck. He wasn't asked about the condition of the bullet when it emerged. He also fired a huller through the carcass of a goat, supposed ly simulating Governor Connally's back and chest. That bullet was "quite flathe testified. Then he fired a hullet into the wrist of a corpse, and testified with pride that he had created a fracture in the cadaver almost identical with the fracture sullered by Governor Connally, He also testified, however, that the spent bullet from the cadaver was not like number 599 at all. He said, "Commission Exhibit 599 is not flattened

on the end. This one is very severely flattened on the end PLAYBOY: Did the bullet fragments found in the governor's wrist, rib and thigh

match Exhibit 8992 ME: Of course not. How do you put a jigsaw puzzle together if someone the in a few extra pieces? Dr. Shaw, who examined Connally, testified that there seemed to be more than three grains of metal from the hullet lodged in the governor's wrist wound, and still more fragments were found in his thighbone But according to FBI tests, less than three grains of metal all told are missing from Fxhibit \$99. Time magazine, on September 16, 1966, summed it up this way: "The bullet offered sufficient grounds to make the single-bullet theory suspect. . . . Medical men restified that it could not have done so much damag to Connally and emerged in such good

PLAYBOY: The bullet in question, according to the Warren Report, was found on Governor Consulty's stretcher at Parkland Hospital. If it didn't fall out of his body, where did it come from LANE Who knows Fire of all the War. ren Commission artfully distorted the testimony of the senior engineer at the oitel, Darrell C. Tomlinson, to conhe that the bullet was in fact discovered on Connally's stretcher. However, if you read Tomlinson's testimony for yourself, you'll find all he would ever say was that he saw it roll from a stretcher that was left in the hospital corridor. He didn't know if it your Covernor Connally's mission means it! I think the suggestion stretcher. President Kennedy's stretcher or even the stretcher of some totally unrelated patient. Remember, many people had access to the hospital that day: even lack Ruly was there, according to two reliable witnesses, including Scripps Howard newsman Seth Kantor, who tes tified that he talked to Ruby there. The Commission, of course, disregarded his

PLAYBOY: Do you think Ruby-or some one else-planted this bullet on the stretcher to incriminate Oswalds tane. That certainly is a possibility

that should be examined, since it would account for a lot of buffling things about Exhibit \$99-including the pristine con diting of the bullet after supposedly smashing the bodies and bones of two

PLAYEOT: Couldn't there be a more inmeent explanation for the contradic-tions surrounding this bullet than that it was deliberately planted as part of a conspiracy to frame Oswald? tane. Perhaps. But none seems appar

ent. The more I've studied the w question of Exhibit 399, the more fantastic it becomes. For example, two declassified FBI autopsy reports, dated December 9, 1963, and January 13, 1964, were receptly discovered in the National Archives in Washington. They state flatly that the bullet in question entered President Kennedy's back-not his neck. mind you, as the Commission claimsand did not continue through his body.

The FBI agents who attended the autop sy reported that Commander Humes said then-whatever he may have since claimed to the contrary-that there was "no point of exit"; that the bullet penetrated the President's back a very short distance. The two FBI agents, James W. Sibert and Francis X. O'Neill, who were present during the antonsy at Bethesda Naval Hospital, said that Dr.

Humes probed the back wound with his finger and determined that the bullet had traveled "a short distance, inasmuch as the end of the opening could be felt with the finger," Since no bullet was in the President's back and "there was no point of exit," the agents said Humes was puzzled as to the whereabouts of the bullet. After being informed that a bullet was "found on a stretcher" at Parkland Hospital—presumably the President's

heen subjected to external cardiac massage there, "Dr. Humes stated that the pattern was clear that the one bullet had entered the President's back and had worked its way out of the hody dur external cardiac massage." This expl nation appears to be corroborated by Colonel Finck, another physician present at the autopsy, who was quoted by Secret Service agent Roy Kellerman, also present during the autopsy, as having said "There are no lanes for an outlet of this

entry in this man's shoulder." Perhaps this explains why Commander Humes

stretcher-and that the President had

decided to burn his original notes after ۰ the Commission's theory contradicted what he had written down. Not only is this a further indication that the antopsy records were tampered with before eation in the Warren Report but it also rebuts the Commission's fantasy about Exhibit 399 hitting both President Kennedy and Governor Connally. In

ition, Governor Connally himself said on a CBS relevision show on Sentember 27, 1964: "I understand there is some question in the minds of the experts about whether or not we could both have been hit by the same bullet the first bullet. I just don't happen to believe that. I won't believe never will believe it, because, again, I heard the first shot, I recognised it for what I thought it was. I had time to turn to try to see what had happened. I was in the process of turning again be fore I felt the impact of a bullet." Mrs. Connally, who was seated next to the governor, also swears President Kennedy was hit before her husband and by a separate bullet. The Warren Commission chose to ignore their testimony -and if they weren't dealing with the governor of Texas, the Commission would probably have impended Con-

nally's integrity, as they did with less minent nonconforming witnesses. And here's something I just found out tion of his skull into the street. So the I recently spent several hours in the studios of WNEW-TV here in Manhattan, searching for footage for a documentary program, and in their library I found what may be the sole remaining video tape of the press conference held in Dallas' Parkland Hospital on the after noon of the awaysination. This particular film was taped by Station WFAA-TV in Dallas, an ABC affiliate, WFAA and all the other local stations were visited after the assassination by FBI and Secret Service agents and asked to surrender all their tapes of the hospital news conference. But this film segment was flown to New York soon after the assassination and gathered dust in WNEW's files for three years, apparently without the FBI being aware of its existence. The film shows Dr. Robert Shaw, one of the physicians attending Governor Connally, speaking to the press at 4:30 r.st. on Noveml 22. After Dr. Shaw described the govercould have entered the back of his neck nor's wounds, he said the bullet that and made a hole in the back of his shirt caused the governor's wounds remained at that time in Connally's thigh. This is two and a half hours after Exhibit 399-the bullet that the Commission claims caused all the governor's wounds, includ-

thigh two and a half hours ofter Exhib 399 was so conveniently found near the stretcher, where is it now? PLAYEOY. For that matter, where is the bullet that you quoted the FBI 28 829-

ing entered the President's back and did tant. As I indicated a moment ago, that may be Exhibit 599. PLAYBOY: There seems to be some confin

sion about the number of bullets fired. Would you go over them one at a time tane. The Commission concluded that three bullets were fired, with two hits They say one struck the back of the President's neck, exited from his throat and then passed on into Governor Connally. Another shot missed. Another builte-the fatal one-then struck the President in the head. But shooting from the Depositors window. Oswald simply

wouldn't have been able to aim and fire three shots at a moving target in the time he had to shoot. Other evidence further rebuts the Commission's sequence. Roy Kellerman, the Secret Service agent riding in the Presidential limousine, testified that right after the first shot, he distinctly heard the President say, "My God, I am Although subjected to intense cross examination, Kellerman invisted this is what the President said. Now when could Kennedy have said this in the se quence offered us by the Commissioni Surely not before he was hit. Surely not after a bullet ripped through his throat, severely damaging his vocal cords. Sure ly not after the fatal shot drove a por-

Commission's review of events does not accommodate the President's verbal reaction to the first shot. It also contravenes the testimony of Governor and Mrs. Connally about the first shot, and the agents, Sibert and O'Neill, who reted, you will recall that one bullet had entered "a finger's length" into the President's back and lodged there.

A more plausible sequence, which—

unlike the Warren Commission's version -conflicts with none of the above evidence, is this: The first bullet struck the ident in the back, causing the non fatal, nonpenetrating "finger's length" wound to which Sibert and O'Neill testified in their FBI report. This wound was not in the back of the neck, but be low the President's shoulder, correspond ing exactly to the holes in the back of his rt and jacket. I don't see how a bu

and jacket more than five inches below the top of his collar. In any case, after this first, nonlethal bullet struck, the President exclaimed, "My God, 1 am Another bullet-let's call it Bullet Number Two, even though it may not ing the thigh wound-was found by Dar Tomlinson. So if anything else was be the second in the sequence-was fired needed to discredit Exhibit 399, here it is. from the knoll in front of the car, strik If there was a bullet in the governor's ing the President in the throat and caus-

ing the entrance wound to which the doctors at Parkland Hospital referred in their statements to the press on the day of the assassination. A third bullet, evidently from behind, struck Governor Connally. A fourth hullet missed the limousine and its occupants, striking the discovered by the FBI. This bullet shattered into fragments when it hit the turb, and one of the fragments—or perhaps a piece of concrete-struck a spectator, James Tague, wounding him superficially in the face. A fifth bullet then struck the President in the head, killing him. This bullet must also have been fired from in front of the car, from the direction of the gravy knoll, because the Zaprader frames-when arranged in the sequence in which they were taken show the President driven back into his seat with considerable force under the impact of the bullet. That could not have happened if the bullet had been fired from belied the limousine, And as I mentioned earlier, a portion of the President's skull was driven back to the left and rear, landing in the street hehind the car; if the shot had come from the rear, that skull fragment would have to have been driven forward. So, all rold we have five shots fired-not including the one that may have hit the traffic sign -four of them hitting either the Presideut or Governor Connally, and at least two of them, or possibly three, fired from in front of the Presidential limousine.

PLAYBOY: Didn't the Commission consider this sequence? they certainly couldn't accept it, because they must have seen at least two things wrong with it from their standpoint First of all, five shots could not all be fired by the same man in the available time, and that would dispose of the Commission's single-assassin theory. Sec ondly, shots came from both the front and the rear of the car, and this would also have canceled out the possibility of a single assassin. In order not to contradict its theory, the Warren Comm ignored the evidence and invented its own convenient three-bullet sequence

Yet it flows from the evidence that there were, in fact, five shots. PLAYBOY: What about the rifle from which the Commission claims all the shots were fired? You indicate in your book that Owald's Mannheler-Carcano couldn't have been the sole weapon involved in the assassination Why?

LANE: For the simple reason that the rifle just couldn't have done what the Warren Commission said it did. It was an old inaccurate weapon PLAYBOY: The Commission concluded

that "various tests showed that the Mannlicher-Carcano was an accurate rifle and that the use of a four-power scope was a substantial aid to rapid, accurate firing . . ." Do you challenge these tests? LANE: I don't challenge the tests; I rely upon them. I challenge the conclusion the Warren Commission draws from them. The rifle tests prove the Manulicher-Carcano could not have fired the shots

PLAYBOY: How? LANE: Let's begin at the beginning. The Commission says, as you just quoted that a telescopic sight is an aid to rapid

Commission (as)s, as you just quoted, that a telecopic sight is an aid to rapid, accurate firing. As far as rapidity is concerned—and this is the critical factor—that's nonsense. Any rifleman knows it requires more time to fire with the aid of a telecopic sight than with an ordinary iron sight. The Commission also

of a telescopic sight than with an ordinary iron sight. The Commission also states that the Mannifeher-Garcano was an acturate rifle. Nomenice again, Rifle experts and rifle manuals and endclopedias agree that this Italian carbine is an extramely poor, theap and inaceurate weapon. The price alone is an initiation, Oswald was supposed to have builded to the commission of the commission of the building the commission of the commission of the building the commission of the commission of the building the commission of the commission of the supplies it from a Chicago mail-order

cation. Oswald was supposed to have bought it from a Chizago mail-order bouse for \$12.78, plus \$7.18 for a scope. In fact, that surplus 1salian carbine presently sells for \$3 if you buy it in losts of 25 or more. I don't have to tell PAYMOV readers how much a good, accurate tille with a scope costs, you can't get one for Jess blus \$8.25.

accurate title with a scope costs, you can't get one for less time \$60.

FLAYIOT. You wrote in your hook that Oswald's ammunition was almost 20 years old, implying it was defective. Was si?

IANIE. Let we quote from the Warren
Commission this time. The Report states

LONG LET ME quote from the Warren Commission this time. The Report states Bully that the ammunition for the rifle is currently being manufactured by the OlimAtathteon Company. In other words, the bullets could have been in brand-new, tiptop whape. Being a suspicious type, one of my investigators wrote.

cious type, one of my investigators wrate to Oliu/Matiliseon, and learned that the 6-5mm Manufacher-Garano carridge has not been manufactured ince 1944. Since the Commission could discover no other waters for this hiller, and since the powder in a bullet deteriorates in time, we must conclude, as offin Marhies on old, that "the reliability of such ammunition would be questionable today."

munition would be questionable today,"
PARMOY, Let's arecup two argument that
the rife was poor and the ammunition
antiquated Couldn't Owald still have
managed to deliver three lucky shots?
LANE 11's mathematically possible. If I
leased out of this window and squeezed

off three shors with my eyes closed, it's mathematically possible that I could laring down a belicopter heading for the Pan Am Building. All I'm saying is it's not true, as the Commission states, that Oswald had everything going for him that day, from an "accurate" rifle to frosh ammunition. Any man using that

rifle, and firing at a moving target with a telescopic sight from a sixth-floor window, was operating under a terrible lundrup. And the facts show that five shots or more were fired. Since it takes 2.5 seconds just to work the bolt of the Mannither-factano—according to the

Mannlicher-Carcano—according to the testimony of FBI rifle expert Ronald Simmons—that is not mathematically possible in the 5-5 seconds that the Commission concedes is the maximum time Oswald would have had to fire from the

these with the second of the s

Book Depository window PLAYSOY: Even if Oswald had poor equip ment to shoot with didn't the Commission conclude he was an excellent shor? r

tane. That conclusion is on a level with the rest of their findings. The fact is that Owald was a relatively poor shot. If you look at Oswald's last tille some in the Marine Corps-also the last time there's proof he ever fired a rille-you'll find he secred only one point above the lowese Marine qualification. One of his buddles in the Marines, Nelson Delgado, told me that Owald was such a poor 4tot he was the laughingstock of the squad, because when Oswald fired, "Maggie's drawers" often popped up. Maggie's drawers is a ted like waved whenever a bullet completely misses the target. And Delgado adds, incidentally, that when he told this to FBI agents, they argued with him for three hours, trying to brow beat him into changing his testimony, to state that Oswald was a good shot. Law of perjury. The Commission also indicated that had atmospheric conditions at the time of the test could have account ed for Oswald's bad showing, in the Re port you'll find the explanation that "Is might well have been a bad day for firing the rifle-windy, rainy, dark." Well, I've been a lineyer long enough to know that whenever weather is a factor in a legal proceeding, all you have to do is subpoena the records of the U.S. Weather Bureau for the day in question. So I called the Weather Bureau and they said that the weather in the Los Angeles area for the day of Oswald's Mar Corps rifle test was not "windy, rainy, dark." It was sanny, bright and clou less, with a temperature ranging between 72 and 79 degrees. Before indulging

in speculation, the Warren Commission should have contacted the Weather Bureau, Perhaps they did-and ignored the information when it proved incomvenient for their thesis that their marks man, Oswald, had done poorly on his rifle test only because of poor weather conditions. While this is a relatively minor point, it indicates how the Commission operated.

PLAYSOY: But after having Oswald's weapon tested, the Commission concluded that he had "the capability to fire three shots, with two hits, within 4.8 and 5.6 seconds.

LANE. Yes, they did say that. To test Oswald's expertise, the Commission asked three Masters of the National Rifle Association-three of the best riflemen the ssion could find—to duplicate Oswald's feat. Let's see what happened First of all, the three experts found they could not even aim the rifle correctly, because the telescopic sight was improporly alienals it also authbled because it was poorly attached.

PLAYBOY- Combile't the sight have been lonsened or dimaged after the assistant

LANE Perhaps. At any rate, the Commission was gracious enough to permit a guismith to treet the wone by welding two or three metal shims to the sifle be fore the NRA rillemen undertook the test. Also Oscald had allesedly find from 60 feet above the ground-but the Commission's experts were allowed to fire from a perch 50 feet above the ground. Oswald allegedly fired at a moring target-but the experts were told to hre at stationary targets. When Osscald illegedly heed from the sixth-lloor win dow of the Book Depository, he could see only the head and shoulders of the President-but the experts were provided with large body silhouettes for targets According to the Commission, the most difficult shot for Oswald was the first one because the President was seen reacting to the wound only eight tenths of a sec ed after he would have become visible to Oswald, the ear having just passed from behind a large tree. So that's the shot that required the greatest skillbut the expert marksmen were told to take all the time they wanted for the first shot. Well, these three master rifle men shot a total of 18 rounds, and firing from half the height, at large, stationary

the first shot, not one of them was able to hit the head or neck area of the target with any of the 18 bullets. What conion did the Warren Com draw from all of this? That Oswald could easily have done what three of the top marksmen in the country, under an finitely better circums ances, could not do PLAYBOY: Still, serren't Oosald's finery prints and palmprints found on the

targets with a resighted rifle, spending

many seconds lining up on the target for

anlicher-Carrano? LANE. Owald's fragerprints were not found on the Manuficher-Carcano, but one of the cornergones of the early case against him was a charge by the Dallas police that Oswald's palmprint was found on the rifle. After this charge was made, the supervisor of the FB1 latent fingerprint section, Schastian F. Latona, examined the weapon carefully, using the most modern techniques available, highlighting it, side-lighting it, etc. He said he could find no trace of Oswald's palmprint anywhere on the rifle, and

that even if Oswald had used the rifle. it would be difficult to determine if prints were there, since it was construct ed of such poor wood and metal that they might not register. The Dallas police then explained that Own usly been on the rifle int lud previou but was "lost" in the process of "lifting it from the rifle. That is, the lifted print remained in the Dallas police station while the rifle was sent to Washington.

PLAYBOY: Where is the "lifted" print now? LANE I imagine it's still in Dallay At one time it was shown to Latens, who testified that he sow it-but not on the rille, of course Yet the Watten Commis sion ignored Latona's expert testimony about the rille not showing any traceof a point, and accepted the word of the Dallas police, declaring unequivocally the Ossaid's palmprint had been on the rille. But even if the rille did belong to his palueuring or fingerprints or both shouldn't be on it. The question is. Was that rille used to fire at President Ken prely, and was Oswald the man who bred it? Merely establishing ownership of a weapon does not constitute proof of guilt, particularly since one interprets tion of the body of evidence would indirate that there was an attempt to frame 22. And there is good evidence that not only was Oswald's Mannheher-Carcano nor the murder weapon but that a totally different rifle was discovered in the Book Depository. The weapon originally found on the sixth Boor was firmly and repentedly identified at first as a 7.65 German Mauser, and not a 6.5 Italian

PLAYBOY: The Commission explained that the rifle "was initially identified as a Manser 7.65 ... because a deputy constable who was one of the first to see it thought it looked like a Manger. He neither handled the weapon nor saw it at close range

LANE. The Commission didn't explain it they explained it away. The deputy constable they refer to is Deputy Constable Seymour Weitzman, the first officer to see the weapon on November 22. The Commission says he neither handled the weapon nor saw it at close range, but in the appendix to my book you'll find an affidavit signed by Weize man on November 23 giving a detailed description of the weapon as a "7.65 Mauser holt action compped with a 4/18 scope, a thick leather brownish-black sling on it "Weitzman also described the rifle as "gun metal color . . . blue metal and said that "the rear portion of the bolt was visibly worn . . . " Does this sound like the description of a man who

assume that Deputy Constable Weitzman was not too bright, that perhaps he wasn't up on rifles or made a mistake, let me point out that Weitzman was a gradunte engineer who before becoming a Dallas police officer had owned a sporting goods shop where he sold rifles. And Weitzman isn't the only one wi tified the weapon as a German Mauser Two other Dallas police officers were present when the gun was found, and they both described it as a 7.65 Mauser

had "neither handled the weapon nor

seen it lat close range"? In the event you

According to one of them, we did the claim of Julius beaming, Equation Will Gold of Julius beaming, Equation Will Gold of Julius Beaming, and the property of the Control of Julius Beaming, and the wave fact of legenders were a behaviors from the work of the Beaming, and the wave fact of legenders in the married words and the same fact of legenders in the married words for the fact of legenders in the married words for the fact of legenders in the married words for the fact of legenders in the married words for the fact of legenders in the married words for the fact of legenders in the fact of leg

FLATBOY: Are you saying that the Dallas police switched the Mauser for the Manuficher-Carcano in order to frame

Oswald? LAND I'm simply suggesting it's a possi bility that should be investigated. For example, when Marina Oscald first heard the news of her husband's arrest she rushed to the garage to check on the rifle and later testified that she thought she saw it there resting on a shelf. Then the Dallas police arrived and "later it turned out that the rifle was not there [and] I didn't know what to think." Nei ther do I. The Dallas police quickly ex ined that Marina had not really seen the Manulither-Carcano on the garage shelf; she had only seen a rolled-up blanket and mistaken it for the rifle. Of course, the Warren Commission whole houstedly endorsed that explanation. The Italian carbine, which could be traced to Oswald-to the Commission's satisfaction, at least-was accepted as the mur der weapon, and we heard no more about the 7.65 German Mauser.

about the 7.65 German Mauser.
PLAYLOY: Again, you seem to be looking for a conspiracy. Int't it possible that in the chaos following the assassanation, the rifle could have been mistakenly iden-

tified? LANE Yes, it's possible, but if that were the case, and the Commission sincerely desired to resolve the discrepancy, all they had to do was ask Deputy Constable Weitzman to examine the rifle and tell them whether or not it was the weapon he discovered in the Book De pository But when Weitzman appeared, he was never shown the rifle. Consider this a moment—the policeman who first discovered the weapon that allegedly killed the President of the United States is not even asked to identify this weapon by the Presidential Commission investigating the assassination. Anyway, when I testified before the Commission, I did demand to see the weapon, and after some procrastination, the Commission allowed me to examine it. Now, I'm not a rifle expert or a policeman, but I was able to take one look at that weapon and unhesitatingly identify it as a 6.5 Italian rifle, not a 7.65 German Mauser

Because etched clearly on the stock of the gun were the manufacturer's words, "MADE THAY" and "CAL 6.5." PLAYOY, So you believe the weapon originally found was a German Mauser

and was later switched to an Indian carbine that would instituted. Ownell!

LAME. I'm not certain, But I think it's a more plausible explanation date all those. Dallys police officers examined a fifte that Ind "Nasas itan's "samped on it and then misskently told the world Muser description lated for a Indi Exp. and it was only after it was decided that Ownell ownell and Indian and Indian Ownell ownell and Indian and Indian Ownell ownell and Indian and Indian and Indian and Indian Ownell ownell and Indian and I

Oswald owned an Italian carbine that the story changed.

PLAYSOY: You've said why you don't believe that the Manuficher-Carcano could have fired the shots in the required amount of time. Assuming these instal

reports of a Mauser discovered on the sixth floor of the Depository are correct, could this gain here done the job? LMR filler experts agree that a Mauser is certainly a fair more accurate weapon than the antique the Commission placed in Oswodt's bands, in fact, almost any rifle is better than the Manulleler-Carcano. But the main point, the crucial point, is the number of shorts and their different points of origin. I'm not a rifle expert, but I don't believe any rifle

—unless it's a remarkably advanced one—could simultaneously inflict wounds from opposite directions.

HATROT: If, as you claim, there's no evidence confirming that Oswald was capable of committing the crime even

with an accurate weapon, is there any evidence indicating that he was even involved in the assassination? IAME: Well, the Warren Commission cer-

tainly produced a lot of it. I'm just saying it's not very convincing.

PLAYBOY: If Oswald wasn't involved, as you seem to feel is the case, then why did be lavas the Description.

did he leave the Depository and, according to the Commission, kill Tippit? LAME It's very difficult to find out exactty what Oswald did after the President was shot. You can hardly turn to the

was stoot. You can hardly turn to the Warten Commission as a source of unerring, accurate information on this or any other subject, and Oswald himself was shot dead before he was able to make any public statement other than that he was innocen.

that he was innocent.

PLAYBOY: But the Commission did
reconstruct his movements.

MMN Yes, they did, but their monousues to the following a trees in studential rates. Don't in the my question is the search way word for it; rend Time. In its easy of Spenther 16, 1869. Time water. The trees was the worked for a popular trees to the trees the proposery share the slows were from the single's tone in the flow. Do pository Share in the Book Do pository Share in the Book Do pository Share from the single's retirement was the slow seen from the single share the share the slow seen from the slow share the shar

measuration and the first report of Tripper's marrier. Onsulf zeroe down on a Tripper's marrier. Onsulf zeroe down on the Espanisors, walked seem blocks to a low stop, on on a bus, get off after a feed on the control of the stop of a stop to the control of the control of a lower. Caused bis choices and then walked into treaths of a mile to the spot lower. Caused bis choices and then walked into treaths of a mile to the post of the control of a mile to the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the control of the post of the control of the contr

in determining whether or not chouse in determining whether one on chouse to provide the control of the control of the control of the constraint of the control of the walf one minute and 20 seconds to get the econol floor electrical flowers, Roy, or the econol floor electrical flowers, and the tops, said in an orbit relevant interview that when the show were find he was sending to floor of the bushfore, and we have the show were find he was confined to the control of the contro

offereix near a Coke mediair. Remember, according to the Commission's own calculation, it rook Oweald oneminate and 20 seconds to get to the second foor. It would seem mathematiculair impossible for Oweald to the aculair impossible for Oweald to the aculair impossible for Oweald to the ather way of the according to the activity of the according to the according to the insertionon. It in the time it rook. Truly and the officer to run, up one flight and confront him. How side the

Warren Commission resolve this discrepancy in their time reconstruction? They did what they're done so many times before with other witnessex: They simply ignored Truly's original statement. PAYIOY. Did Truly subsequently change

is his story?
y LANE: Yes, he later conformed to the
l- Commission's version.

Commission's version.

HAYIOT: Why did Truly and the policeman rush into the building in the first
tt place—unless they had heard shots

coming from it?

LANE Truly restified that he thought the shots came from the area of the railroad tracks or the grassy knoll. He said he rail into the Depository with the offset; he cause he assumed the policeman wanted a rooftop view of this area. The policeman dal go on up to the roof from the

Another interesting aspect of this question is the testimony of Vicki Adams, who worked for a publishing firm in the Depository. She was on the fourth floor when the shots were fixed, and ran into the hallway and down the stairs at the very time that Oswald was supposed to be running down the stairs. He wasn't.

PLAYBOY: What do you think Oswald actually did after he left the Depositors?

AMR As I sold, his movements after the association are stell derounded in mysics and advanted in the control of the control of

PAYSOY. Do you think the policecurhorn was some kind of signal for Oswald's LANE. I don't know. But this is another aspect of the case that deserved thorough investigation—and never got it. RAYSOY. Why did Patrolman Tippit stop Oswald in the first place?

LANE We don't know that Tippit stopped Oswald: all we know is that Tip stopped a man who then shot The Commission contradicts itself on this. At one point the Report states that the wanted bulletin on Oswald was not sent out until after he killed Tippit based on eyewitness identification of Os wald as the killer. So Tippit certainly couldn't have stopped Oscald on the ba-sis of a police radio all-points bulletin on Tuppat's own murder. But the Commission also quotes a Dallas police officer who claimed that a roll call of Book De pository employees was taken right after the assassination and that Oswald was the only one missing, at which point a call for his arrest was broadcast over the police radio, and Tippit stopped him.

But then it street our executing, to was any self-coll and that a number of employees left the Depository time. The employees left the Depository time. The employees left the Employees was a self-collection for Ownell sout transmitted—only I omittors during the employees about the employees and the employees are the employees and the employees are the employees and the employees are employees and the empl

anter ine wides were intered at a time, to quote Professor Trevoir Ropper, when there was "no available evidence pointing the properties of the properties of the special of Commission to old only specialte. They rely once again on our old friend Haward Berenau, their "tast winters," to the effect that it was Owndo he had were firing a rifle from the ixidafloor window of the Depository. "Most probability," the Commission concludes, Brennan was the source for the premature Dallas police radio descripcio broadcast at 12:45 p.m. Yet Brennau bin self stated he gave his first description of the man in the window to a Serrer Service agent who arrived on the seene at approximately one r.st.—15 minutes too late to explain the all-points bulletin describing Oswald. And so we are left with the Commission's "most probably assumption that the bulletin was based on Brennan's identification-which when confronted with the exidence, dorsn't seem very probable at all PLAYBOY: Why do you challenge the eye witness evidence that Oswald shot Officer

Lippate LANE It's not the witnesses' original statements I challenge. It is the Commis seon's are of them that is so disquieting The exeminess evidence shows that Os-

wald did not shoot Tippit tane Well, there were three witnesses to Tippit's murder close enough to iden-tily the murderer. The Commission sought to obscure this fact by writing that "at least 12 persons saw the man with the revolver in the vicinity of the Tippet crime scene at or immediately PLAYEOF. This tape recording of your conafter the shooting," but it was able to present the testimony of only two who said they had seen the shooting. The others saw a man fleeing from the scene or from the general neighborhood. Their efforts to identify a fleeing man, whom they had never seen before and had seen just briefly then, are to be weighed with caution This is particutarly so in view of the nature of the police line-ups conducted by the Dallas police. At least one witness said that he uld pick Oswald out of the line-upsince he was loudly protesting his placement in the line-up with a group of teenagers. In addition, witnesses said that they signed the affidavit identifying Oswald as the culprit from the line-up even before they were taken to the line up. The Commissioners said only that they were satisfied with the line-upleading one to believe that they were too easily contented. Of the evewirnesses to the actual murder, however, one was a Mexican-American mechanic named Domingo Benavides, who was parked in a pickup truck only 15 feet from the murder scene. Benavides told me that on November 22 he sold the Dallas police that the man who killed Officer Tippit was short and somewhat heavy. After Benavides gave this description of the killer the police evidently decided there was no use bringing him down to the line-up to view Lee Harvey Oswald, who was extremely thin and above middle height The second witness was Mrs. Acquilla Clemons, an Oak Cliff housewife, who d me in a filmed interview that she heard shots, then ran out of her house and saw a man with a pistol in his hand standing over Patrolman Tippit's body.

the described the man as short and beave. Mrs. Clemous further said that this man with the pistol then waved to mother man across the street and the two men ran off in opposite direction Mis Clemons said neither man was Lee Harvey Oswald The Commission never called her to testify, and she was never onestioned by the Commission lawvers Now the third witness, Mrs. Helen Louise Markham, became the Warren Commisson's our witness in this aspect of the case, because she eventually identified Oswald us the murderer. The only prob-lem is that on November 22 Mrs. Mark

ham gave a statement to the police-which the press picked up-that the man who shot Tippit was short, heavy and had bushy lair. Lee Oswald had thin and receding hair. After Mrs Markham had changed this initial idencation and told the Commission that Oswald was the murderer, I phoned her in Dallas and tape-recorded our constrution. She repeated her original de scription to me, reiterating that Tippit's orderer was short, on the heavy sid

it not too heavy-with somewhat bushy

versation with Mrs. Markham caused quite a faror during the Commis proceedings. At one point, after you refused to hand over the tape, Earl Warren said, "We have every reason to doubt the truthfulness of what you have heretofore told us." Why didn't you want to give the tape to the Commission? tane. That remark you quoted is just one of the many excessive statements made by the Chief Justice during the hearings. First of all, since the recording of my conversation with Mrs. Markham was made without her knowledge and consent, for me to make and divuler such a recording voluntarily would constitute a Federal crime. If the Commission ordered me to surrender the tape. however, I would no longer be liable to prosecution, since the responsibility for divulging the contents would then be theirs. I really wanted them to have it, because Mrs. Markhum was then deny ing she had ever talked to mr. But the Chief Justice refused to direct me to hand it over, and then he told the press that I had refused to give the Comsion the tape. This unfair accusation was widely printed, defuly conveying the impression that I did not really possess such a tape. I returned to my office to think the whole thing over and decided that even though I could be sent to tail me a description of Tippit's murderer that could not have fitted Oswakl-and thereby also indicated that she had apparently committed perjury in her previous testimony. And what did the Commission do about this? It chose to believe that Mrs. Markham had really seen Lee Oswald shoot Tippit She is the sole eyewitness support for the Commission's allegation that Oswald killed iman Tippst. Somewhere a short stocky murderer with bushy hair may be

walking our streets. PLAYBOY: Why do you think Mrs. Markham changed her initial identification of the killer

LANE: You should ask her that, I don't wish to be hostile to the poor woman. As she told me, she had been ordered by the FBI, the Source Service and the Dallas police not to discuss the case at all. Her son told the FBI that she "had Ited on many occasions, even to members of her immediate family." He said that she was frightened to death of what would happen if she didn't testify that Oswald was the killer. And if you'll look at the Commission proceedings, you'll had that after Mrs. Markham finally admitted she had repeated her initial non-Oswald description of Tippit's murderer to me, she of the Commission lawyer anxiously Will I get in any trouble over this?" and he reassured her that she wouldn't. The Commission's lawyer was simply conveying the idea that if you commit perjury on the side of the Warren Commission, you'll be protected. If Mrs. Markham

had told the truth, she'd have a very good reason to worry PLAYBOY. What do you mean? LANE. To live in Dallas and contradict the official version of the assassination

can prove to be an invitation to violence. For example, shortly after our investiga tors visited the Markham home, Mrs. Markham's son was arrested for car theft, and, according to the Dallas po-lice, he "fell" from a third-floor window while trying to exape." Fortunately, he survived. Mrs. Acquilla Clemons, to whom I referred a few minutes ago-on other witness who said Tippit's murder-er was not Oswald—was threatened. Mrs. Clemons told me in a filmed and tape recorded interview that she was visited by a man she believed to be a plainclothes policeman, who wore a gun in a holster at his waist. According to Mrs. Clemons, "He just told me it'd be best if idn't say anything because I might get hurt." Mrs. Clemons said the man

intimated she could easily be killed on for voluntarily lianding over the tape, a her way to work case such as this tustified the risk. So I PLAYBOY: Your hook skips abruptly from did give the tape to the Commission, and the Tippit shooting to Oswald's arrival at they subsequently published a transcript of it as an exhibit. When Mrs. Markham the Dal as jail. Why did you leave our details of Oswald's arrest in the Texas was confronted with the recording, she Theater-such as his statement to arrest broke down and admitted that she had ing officers: "Well, it's all over now"? iked to me. So here we have the Com mission's star witness admitting she gave

LANE. The press reported, on the basis of information supplied by the Dallas

police, that Owald said: "Well, it's all over flow," when he was arread. But no witness in the theater ever seefied that he made that renark. And even the police seemed confused on the point; one Dallas officer said jaja equal words were. "This is it." littler way, this landly constitutes an admission that he had associated the President and short Officer Tippit. "Althory When actually happened at the

LAMA. The circumstances of Oscalifs, are rest are still a lic churds, Mose of the witnesses can't remember Oscalif aspirary that the control of the control oscalification oscalification of the control oscalification oscalification

thing other claim a speciator at the President's assumation—and unless it can be proved for was more than that, nother than the control of the control of the case. Of course, it would be a different story if Owald had admitted guilt during his arrest—but he never dist, then or late.

FUNTON: The control could paid a gun on the CALTON. The course of the country of

convincing proof that Owald was more than a spectator to the assessmanton. Does this mean you think be was completely innocent, or could be have been involved in some subsidiary role in a conspiracy? LAME Let me put it this way: I am convinced that Oswald never puilled the trigger of the rifte that killed President

Kennech, II. Oweald, were allow, here would be many questions 1'd like to ask it him, here complexified the vest amount with the complexified the vest amount of the complexified the vest amount of the complexified the vest amount of the vest

other people's targets, he talked of the assassination two months before it occurred, he bragged to automobile silemen that he was soon coming into large sums of money; and he spoke of going back to Russia. In short, he engaged in the kind of odd conduct that would only make tense if there were a deliberate, premedizated attempt to frame Oswald by incriminating lim in advance for the President's murder.

mission say about all this?

LANE In each case, the Commission concludes that this man could not have been the red Let Harry Oswald. One example of this rise testimony of Mrs. Splvia Otlo, a prominent autiCastro Cathan celle. See total the Commission that roughl the end of September 1963: a a man sixtle their in Ballas accompanied by two other men who were either Cothan or Weislen, and who have hings about her father, then imprisoned by GSSNO. The mass regard to know things

by two other men who were critery
Cohan or Weston, and who knew things
about her father, then imprisoned by
Gaston. The men seemed to know things
the company of the company of the
thorn the company of the company
discovery of the company of the company
discovery of the company of the company
of the company of the company of the company
of the company of the company of the company
of the company of the company of the company
of the company of the company of the company
of the company of the company
of the company of the company
of the company of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the company
of the compan

been assessimed after the Bay of Fig. ... it is very set to fir. The two men suggested to Mrs. Odio data Owada Condid Tolog in the underground artistic the supplementation construction and the condition of the

showed conclusively that Oscald was not in Dallas when Mrs. Office was vise ited by the three men. So who was "Leon Oscald"—and why was he talk-ing about how easy it would be to assistance the President) it appears possible a that there may have been a concerned attempt to frame Ossald in advance for the murder of President Kennedy. The Commission, of course, nover even ex-

amined such a possibility, and simply brushed aside all evidence pertaining to this "other" Oswald. RAYBOY: Did Oswald's interrogation shed

ka any light on his Coban consectional

LMM: Pethap, but we may never know
a what Onwald said. Although he was
interrogated by agens to the FBI, the
dd

Secret Service and the Dallas posice for
over 12 hours, The Commission says no
all encographic notes or tape recordings
were made. Dallas Homicide Chief Will
is Fritz admirted be had made some rough
onore—but note them up after Onwald's

murder! Jost think about that: Here is, the most important princers on the face of the cartil, and the Commission would have us believe that his interrogation was not recorded by the FBI, the Secret Service or the Dallas police. But a Dallas newspaperman, Hugh Aynetworth, scated publishy that he saw a police necessary produces the conference of the con

if not making a transcript. All we know is what Ossaid told nessumen as he was being led back and forth through the hallways of police headquatters. He said them—and his words are preserved on video tape—that he was innocent and

had no connection with the marger of Officer Tippit, When asked if he had killed President Kennetly, Owald looked stunned and wid, "No one even asked me about that, I never heard about that, I didn't kill anyone." At the patic dragged him news, he shouled that he was hing made a "pury." That's Oswald's word, In fact, one of his lass words.

PIATEOY: Are you saying that there exists
10 verbatim record whatsoever of anything Oswald said during those 12 hours
of interrogation?

LAME That may seen surprising to you, but I'm afraid Tve row low my capacity for surprise. The Dallar police claimed Ownell had Jeson "bing" to them, By Daz, I swame they mean he continued to procee his innocence—or perlaps had samething so explosive to say that the authorities desided to "procee" the public from it. In any case, Ownell wat executed by Jack Ruby on November 24, before he could repeat his "lies" to the press—or to a jury.

Pres—or to a jury.

**RAYBOY: You state in your book that

"No interpretation of November 24 can exclude the certainty that Ruby murdered Ossad through the completity or complacency of members of the police."

On what evidence do you base that charge?

LANE: Let me say at the outset that the Warren Commission's conclusion that Ruby murdered Oswald is the only major conclusion in the Report that is supported by the evidence. Of course, the Warren Commission could hardly have held otherwise, since the murder took place live on nationwide TV. As for the question of police complicity, let's examine the Oswald slaying. The previous day, the FBI and the Dallas County sheriff's office were warned by anony-mous telephone callers that Oswald was going to be killed, but the police officers in charge of his transfer—according to the Warren Commission—were not informed of these threats. The time of the transfer was announced to the public in advance; and when it took place—an hour and 15 minutes after it had been announced—the human corridor of go lice officers that was supposed to flank Oswald as he passed through the base ment was not in place. The police on that was to take him away was also not where it was supposed to be. Jack Ruby was able to enter the jail through the Main Street ramp and shoot Oswald without a hitch. There were police be-hind Oswald and on each side, but none

bind Oswald and go each side, but none of ind the front.

PLAYSOY: Whyn't Won't know. I do know that all the binds of the side of the side

Sick of him and in front of him. So if anyone had wanted to kill Jack Ruby, he would have to kill a Dallas officer first. But Oswald's front was unprotected, giving an assissin a clear range of fire. PLAYBOY: You say Ruly not into the police variou through the Main Street Wasn't it granted by police

table. Yes, there was a police officer there. Whether he was guarding the entrance is another question. A former Dallas officer. N. | Daniels, told the Commission be was standing outside the Main Street ramp with the police officer on duty when he saw a man who was

later identified as Jack Ruby enter the ramp. Daniels told the FBI that this man had his right hand in his cost pocker,

and said there was a large budge in the pocker, which Daniels immediately as sumed was caused by a pistol Daniels didn't do anything because the police-man on guard looked directly at Ruby and let him enter without a word. "The impression I got was that the patrolman

Daniels testified Well knew him here is evidence that at least one Dallas officer allowed an unauthorized man, his pocket bulging with what could have

been a gun, to pass into the basement. What did the Commission do about this? Did they call this Dallay policeman and rigorously crow-examine him? No, they neatly disposed of the problem by con-cluding that Danies' "testimony merits

little credence," And that was that PLAYBOY: On what grounds did the Commission dismiss Daniels' testimony? LANE Partly on the grounds that Daniels was incorrect in remembering if Ruby wore a lut that day. When a witness said something that conflicted with the conclusions of the Commission, his res-

timony was frequently judged invalid on such trivial and irrelevant grounds. PLAYSOY: Have you tried to speak to the patrolman for his version of the tank I've never spoken with him. I would be delighted to cross-examine him in some proceeding where a structure of

legal rules prevailed. In any case, with or without help, Ruby did get inside and by some wrenching of the laws of probability, his timing just happened to be perfect. A few seconds after Rubs entered the basement, Oswald was led into the corridor from an elevator. And at that moment, just as Osssald appeared the horn of a car in the basement sounded once. Then Oswald was led

ers toward the Main Street ramp, and as he approached it, the horn honked a second time, and at that fustant Ruba rushed forward and shot Oswald dead PLAYBOY. Are you implying that the horn was some kind of signal alerting Rubs when to shoot Oswald? take That's certainly a possibility. It

through the milling crowd of report

had to be a police horn, because all the cars in the basement were police cars, and those two honks are clearly audible on video and radio tapes taken by reporters. It's conceivable there's a

perfectly innocent explanation for the whole thing, but the Commission never bothered to investigate it—and never even mentioned the two horn blass in their report.

NATION: You just pointed out that Rully arrived on the verse at the very moment of Oswalth variated. The put think Rully kniw in advance the exact time this nander was to take place.

1000 These specialities for Tre surfer took place pass after 115 xes. The previous right is war, amounted the transfer was to the place at ten AM. If Robert had followed to defect as the previous results of the place at ten AM. If Robert had followed to defect as the place of the place at the place at the data and hort early. The becomes would have been virtually deserted. But for some recome Owald's trainfer was do as the place of the place at the place of the place and the place of the place of the place and the place of the pla

sion denies it, but, as I said, Scripps Howard newsman Seth Kantor and another reliable witness placed him there Ruby was also at the assissination site minutes after the shooting, the Comsion denies this, too, but there's a photo showing him there. And he was at Oswald's so-called "press conference" in police headquarters on the night of No vember 23: this the Commission admits. Ruby even chimed in to help out District Attorney Henry Wade when he misidentified the Fair Play for Cuba Committee. Now, he turns up an hour and 15 minutes after the transfer should have heen completed but miraculously is exactiy on time—ulmost like an actor or cue. And the play didn't begin until he was in place. The Warren Commission says it's just a coincidence: I'll leave it up to you. PLAYBOY: Would you describe the photo-graph that supposedly shows Ruby at the

sustaination list "intenses after the thooring the vision of the color of the color

FBI hat told lim it was Ruby. The Commission, however, concluded that Ruby wasn't there—and when they published picture eight, he wan't. After Willissurrendered the photograph to the Commission, someone cropped it and removed a substantial portion of the face of the man thought to be Ruby, who was standing in the far right of the picture. The cropped photograph was then cularged to make it identical in size to the other pictures, and published in the Report.

PLAYSOY: In any case, does Ruby's ubiquntousness lessen the possibility that his perfect timing in the jail was just a

LANE: No. it doesn't. I believe in chance in the random factor, but you reach a sint in this case where the Wanner Commission asks you to accept one too many coincidences. They proceed as if cause and effect are alien doctrines. The American press speaks of Europeans rejecting the Report because of their conspiracy theories of Instery. We Americans are asked to accept a coincidence theory instead. Europeans rejected the Commission's Report curlier than Americans, because the European press pre-sented both sides. Here those who dissented from the findings—including

me-were denied access to the press for two years following the ussa: PLAYBOY: Do you believe that Ruby killed Oswald in a conspiracy with the Dalles

olice-to silence him? LANG. This is possible and should have been investigated—but it never received ough examination from the Commis sion. Let me add that there is no doubt in my mind that had Oswald lived to face trial, he would have been acquitted of the assassination of President Kennedy. A Commission attorney, Alfredda bey, conceded that in the January 1965 issue of The American Bar Association Journal. Perhaps the real authors of the assassination decided to "close the case" in the most effective-and finalway possible

PLAYBOY: What exactly were Ruby's relations with the Dallas police? TANE The Commission more or less accepted the statement of Jesse Curry, chief of the Dallas police, that Ruby knew "only" 25 to 50 Dallas policemen. But Joseph Johnson, who was Ruby's bandleader at the Carousei Club for his pocket no longer bulging, and left more than six years, says Ruby had a soon afterward. Though she didn't see "very close, warm relationship" with the it, she testified that the money was then police, and personally knew more than half the Dallas force. There are 1200 policemen on the force. Another witness, Policemen on the nace. Ambure bar-Nancy Perrin Rich, Ruby's former bartender at the Carousel Club, also said Ruby knew over half the Dallas police force. The Warren Commission said that Ruby would occasionally serve the few cops he knew "free coffee and soft drinks," but Mrs. Rich, who got her job with Ruby through a Dallas policeman.

said in a filmed interview with me that he supplied "booze, women and gam bling" to the police. There was a vast amount of evidence and testimony before the Commission attesting to the long-standing corrupt relationship between Ruby and the Dallas police. The evidence shows that Ruby bribed the officers and that in turn they quashed a number of criminal charges and licensing violations that had been brought against him. It was a cory, symbiotic rehip, and the final payoff may have

been Ruby's murder of Oswald. PLAYBOY: Was Ruley linked to organized crime in Dallas)

tant: The Commission concluded be wasn't-but many witnesses said he was Several told the local or Federal poli that before opening a gambling operation in Dallas, they were told by Syndicute kingpins in Las Vogas to clear it with Jack Ruby, who was their "coptact man" with the Dallas police One witness testified that Ruby was also deeply involved in the Dallas narcotics racket. And there is evidence that Ruby was the "hagman," or paymester, for a chadestine group of anti-Castro Cahan

PLAYBOY: What evidence The testimony of Nancy Perrin Rich She swore that her husband was contacted by an anti-Castro group and asked to run guns into Cuba and smug-

exiles out. He was selected because he'd previously accomplished similar missions PLAYBOY: Is there any further evidence for Franco during the Spanish Civil War. Mrs. Rich says she attended a meeting with her husband to discuss the terms of the deal. It was presided over, she told me, by a lieutenant colonel of the U.S. Air Force, and there was at least one man present who she thought might have been Cuban." Mrs. Rich's and was promised \$10,000 for the ob, but they haggled with the group and eventually succeeded in raising the sum to \$25,000. But the negotiations hit a snig because there was no money as hand and Mr. Rich demanded a large cash retainer. According to Mrs. Rich, when she restified before the Commission she then was surprised to see her old friend Jack Ruby walk in the door, his cost pocket bulging ostentatiously. Ruby and the colonel went into the bedroom and a few minutes later Ruby came out.

forthcoming. PLAYBOY: What did the Warren Commission conclude about Mrs. Rich's

LANE Nothing. They never even mentioned her in the Report. There was no effort to track down the Air Force colo nel Mrs. Rich says presided over the meeting, or to identify anyone else involved. Let me show you how the Commission dealt with her testimony. When I interviewed Mrs. Rich in Lewiston Maine, on April 18, 1966, she informed me that, after the meeting, the Air Force colonel showed her a cache of military armameut in a shed in the back yard of the apartment building where they met-'I got the general impression from what was said," she told me, "that these were pilfered from U.S. Army or Air Force

bases." I asked Mrs. Rich if she gave this information to the Commission She replied: "I did, but apparently they chose to discount it. . . . At the time it was given . . . Mr. Griffin [Burt Griffin Commission counsel] said, 'Strike that from the record," Mrs. Rich's testimony, of course, was incompatible with the Commission's evaluation of Ruby as an honest and aboveboard, though possibly

deranged, character with no sha nections-so it was ignored. And let me stress that the Commission's conclusion that Ruby was an insignificant character without criminal connections is vital to their determination that there was no conspiracy. If their evaluation of Ruby goes, so does much of their case against a conspiracy. In fact, Mrs. Rich's testimony about the arms cache wasn't just ignored-it was deleted from the pul version of the 26 volumes. As you probably know, the Commission reserved the right to edit the transcripts on which the volumes of testimony were hased, to publication, to improve the "clarity and accuracy" of the witnesses' testimony

linking Ruby to the anti-Castro under-

LANE Yes, there's the testimony of Robert McKeown, a Houston resident who was convicted of conspiracy to smuggle arms to Fidel Castro while Castro was in the Sierra Maestra. When Castro visited the U.S. in the early days of his regime, he personally greeted McKeown and ed him as a friend of the rev I have an FBI report that reveals that in January 1959 McKenwn received a telephone call from one "Jack Ruhen stein" in Dallas. Rubenstein, of course, was Ruby's real name. "Rubenstein" said he knew that McKeown had influence with Castro, and told him 'he wanted to get three individuals out of Cuba who were being held by Castro." He stated that if McKeown could accomplish their release, "Rubenstein would pay \$5000 for each person. The caller added that "a person in Las Vegas, Nevada, would put up the mon-

him \$25,000 for a letter of introduction to Castro. This man never gave his name, but according to the FBI report, McKeown advised that he feels strongly that this individual was in fact Jack Ruby, the man whose photographs he has seen many times recently in the press." Now here is further evidence linking Ruby to anti-Castro activity, and the FBI forwarded this report to the Warren Commission: but the Commission never published it in its Report or referred to it in any way. I only came across it myself while poring over the mass of unindexed material in the 26

ey." A few weeks after this call, a man

visited McKeown in Houston and offered

3 many conclusions from the testimous of

two people? LANE McKrown's and Mrs. Rich's are not the only evidence of a Ruby-Cuba link Shortly after the incident with Mc Keown, Ruby flew to Havana with a Las Vegas gambler named Lewis J. Mc-Willie. Ruby told the Commission of his trip but didn't say what he did in

Havana, McWillie testified that his plu gambling casino laid been expropriated by Castro, and he "personally left Havana to avoid arrest." McWillie said he had known Ruby for some time, that Ruby had once procured a piscol for him. and that he knew Ruby "to be well ac-quainted with virtually every officer of the Dallas police." When the Commission spoke to McWillie, they already knew of the FBI report on the McKeown incident, but they never questioned the gambler about it, and never bothered to determine a possible link between Ruby's avana trip and his earlier contact with McKeown. The Commission simply brushed off the whole incident as unim

ortane PLAYBOY: Do you believe, as some have implied, that Ruby was involved with the CIA in his alleged anti-Castro

LANE: I don't know, but at that time the CIA was firmly in charge of anti-Castro exile activity in the United States, Ruby may have had nothing to do with the CIA, however, he may just have been acting for some expropriated gambling interests out for revenge against Castro hat I object to is the Warren Commission deliberately suppressing these facts. Let me add a vital point: By hushing up things like this, the Commission didn't dispel rumors; they provided more fertile ground for them. Because peonaturally wonder, if there's nothing sinister here, why did the Commission suppress the facts? The Warren Report may have won a little time for the Government, but its methods have opened up a whole Pandora's box of rumors and speculation. No cover-up at all is better

than a poor one PLAYBOY: Since you've mentioned rumors and speculation, let's discuss your contention in Rush to Judgment that on the evening of November 14, 1963, a twohour meeting took place at the Carousel Club between Ruby, Patrolman Tippit and Bernard Weissman, the ultra-rig wing activist who placed that full-page ad in the November 22 Dallas Morning News accusing Kennedy of treason. Where did you hear about this alleged meeting?

LANE: I learned of it from Thayer Waldo, a respected reporter for the Fort Worth Star-Telegram, who told me that an acquaintance of his, a prominent Dallas figure, was in the Carousel Club that night. Waldo's friend was a frequent visitor to Ruby's place, because he was

conving on an affair with one of the strippers. This man told Waldo, and later repeated directly to me, that he had seen Ruby. Officer Tippit and Weissman sitting together at a back table on iged in deep conversation for almost

two hours PLAYBOY: Why would they hold such a meeting in public view? St. Lalou's Langue

PLAYBOY: II there was such a meeting, do you believe that its purpose was to plot the assissination of President Kennedy? tane I don't know what its purpose was. That's the whole issue. There is some evidence to support the contention that there was such a meeting. The Commission should have found out where those

three men were that night, and told us They didn't. Maybe my informant was wrong about seeing the men together. The point is that here is a potentially critical lead that the Commion stuli bornly refused to follow up. The meeting itself could mean nothing-or everything. We'll never find out from the Commission's Report

PLAYBOY: The Report concluded that "The Commission has investigated the allegation of a Weisman-Ruby-Tippit meeting and has found no evidence tha such a meeting took place anywhere at

LANE Of course they conclude that. It assists their coincidence thesis. But let me tell you how the Commission "investigated" this meeting. As I said, Thayer Waldo was the source for my information on the two-hour Ruby Tippit-Weiss man meeting. Waldo testified on June 27, 1964-but the Commission counsel never once asked him about the meeting. I told the Commission I could not reveal the name of the man Waldo said had witnessed the meeting, because I had prom-ised the man he would not be involved; he was a leading Dallas citizen; he was married, and the stripper he was going with had become pregnant. But the Commission wasn't interested in the truth, only in discrediting my report of the

meeting. For example, after I told the Commission what I knew, Chief Counsel J. Lee Rankin asked me, "Do you realize that the information you gave in closed session could have an unfavorable effect on your country's interests in connecti with this assassination?" Mind you, Rankin wasn't concerned with investigating the report and finding out if such a meeting had really occurred; he was only disturbed that talking about it could harm our country's interests. This, of course, was the whole problem with

the Commission; they weren't interested in pursuing the truth, but in performing a prophylactic function, in protecting their conception of the national interest In this case, unfortunately, they couldn't

PLAYSOY: Apart from the alleged meeting

at the Caronsel Club, didn't the Warren Commission couclade there was "no cred the evidence" that Ruby knew Tippit? mmission conclusions as if they were facts. Yes, the Commission did, but the evidence says otherwise. Ruby's sister Mrs. Eva Grant, told a New York Herald Tolloune reporter who asked her about

Tippit that "Jack knew him and I knew " She added that "Jack called him Buddy" and "We liked him. . . . He was in and out of our place many times." At least six other witnesses-including Dallas Police Lieutenant George C. Arnett -wore that Ruby knew Tippit. For example, one of Ruby's barrenders, Cur-

tis La Verne Crafard, and another club employee, Andrew Armstrong, were at the Carousel Club when Tippit's death was announced over the radio, at both men told the FBI that Ruby told them then that he had known Tippit. Still another witness, who once sought Ruby's OK to open a numbers opera-tion in Dallas, told the FBI that Tippit was a frequent visitor to Ruby's night club, along with another officer who was

a motorcycle patrolman in the Oak-lawn section of Dallas." The FBI agents who interviewed Hardee reported that from his observation there appeared to be a very close relationship between One of the many witnesses the Com-

mission never chose to call was Harold Richard Williams. On April 3, 1966, 1 filmed and tape-recorded an interview with Williams in Dallas, and he told me that early in November 1963 he had been roughed up and arrested in a raid on an after-hours club called the Mikado, where he worked as chef. Willian says he carefully studied the face of the officer driving the police car to head-quarters, intending to find out who he was and make a complaint. Scared alongside this cop in the front of the car, according to Williams, was Jack Ruby, whom the driver called "Rube," Williams said he knew Ruby well, since Ruby "used to furnish us with girls" for parties at the Mikado Club. On Novem ber 22 Williams saw a photograph of Patrolman J. D. Tippit in the papers and recognized him as that same officer When Williams told acquaintano about seeing Ruby and Tippit together, he was promptly taken into custo the Dallas police and told to keep qui about the incident, since "it would be very easy" to charge him with a crime "and make it work." Nevertheless, Williams agreed to tell me all he knew. Despite all these facts, the Commission uded there was "no credible evidence" that Ruby and Tippit were ac-

PLAYBOY: Do you also challenge the Commission's conclusion that Ruby had never met Weissman, the man respon-sible for the anti-Kennedy ad? LAND Yes, I do. And it's the same story here: Witness after witness told either the FB1 or the Commission that Westman was a frequent visitor to the Carousel Club in November of 1963. On August 21, 1961, the FBI showed Carris Crafard several photographs of Weissman, and Crafard said that Weissman had been in the Carousel "on a number of occasions." The FB1 report on the interview states that Crafard revealed he "has heard Ruby refer to Weissman by the name of 'Weissman' and on several occasions has served Weissman drinks at the Caronsel Club." It goes on and on like that, but despite all this evidence, the Commission claimed that Ruby knew neither Tippit nor Weissman. PLAYSOY: In any case, the Commission cluded that Ruby was too "moody and unstable to have encouraged the confidence of the persons involved in a sensitive conspiracy." Do you think they LANE: Well, that's an interesting bit of speculation. I personally don't know who a conspiracy would pick as its assassin. Perhaps the conspirators, if they exist, would have preferred a college professor or a Rhodes scholar. But I do know that Ruby killed Oswald quite effectively, although the odds were very much against it, with just one well-placed shot in the stomach. Unlike the Warren ssion, I can't psychoanalyze a hypothetical group of conspirators and determine their recruiting practices. All I can say is that if Ruby was ordered to kill Oswald, his employers would have no reason to be dissetisfied with the way he did his job. PLAYBOY: How did Ruby explain his motivation for killing Oswald when he testified before the Commission? LANE: Ruby's appearance before the Commission is one of the most fantastic aspects of the whole investigation. In the first place, the Government was far from eager to have him testify at all. The Commission was formed during Novemb of 1963, but Ruby wasn't interrogated until June of 1964, even though he repeatedly asked the Commission for permission to testify. And when he was finally called, only two members of the seven-man Commission were present-

Earl Warren and Gerald Ford. The docale for his mitreview was, of all places, the Dallac Gounry jull, and in attendance at the outset were Dallac Steepini J. E. Decker, Assistant District Automey Jim. Bowie and Robert G. Storey, special Gouncel to the attorney general of Texas. The Commission assumed Robert Steeping of the Commission assumed Robert world bear Gouncies of the Commission assumed Roberts of the Steeping of the Commission assumed Roberts of the bearse Robe begged over and over to be taken to Washington, where he made peak freely, but Tarl Warren repeatedly

2 turned him down. This is all reinted in the Commission evidence. "I want to tell c _

the truth." Rubs said at one point, "and I om't tell it here. . . . Gentlemen, 100 less you get me to Washington, you can't get a fair shake out of me." He added: My life is in danger here." When Comgressman Ford asked, somewhat arelun dantly, if there were things he would reveal in Washington that he wouldn't re-veal in Dallas, Ruby told him that there were. And as the hearing closed, he made one last desperate plea to Chief Justice Warren to get him out of Dallas You are the only one who can save me he told Warren. "But by delaying minutes, you lose the chance." Ruby said he was auxious to tell the muth about "why my act was committed, but it can't be said here." At that point End Warren, instead of reasoning Ruby and trying to find out what he knew, actually told him safety if he salked too much. These are Warren's exact words, from the Count sion records: "I think I might have some reluctance if I was in your position, yes: I think I would. I think I would figure it out very carefully as to whether it wou endanger me or not." Here is the Chief

knows. It certainly was, to put it as innocently as possible, an incurious approach MAYBOY: Couldn't Ruby have blurted out whatever he knew to Warren, and on the strength of that demanded some kind of political asylum? Didn't he actually jeopardize himself more by making only cryptic remarks that might be disregarded? LANE I think be handled things quite well from the standpoint of his own in teres. If he was involved in a plot and he told the whole story, his statement would be tantamount to a confession of murder with malice. After a new trial his "asylum" would be a cemetery. Ruby's cryptic remarks may have been intended as a reminder that he still might talk if arrangements for his release were not fulfilled. All of this, of course, is sed on the presumption that Ruby may have been part of a conspiracy to

Justice of the United States questioning

the one surviving principal, and in effect

warning him not to tell everything be

kill Oswald. PLAYBOY: Why didn't the Commission rake Ruby to Washington?

LANE: The Chief Justice said that a trip with Ruby would attract "public atte tion" and require the presence on the plane of additional security guards When Ruby continued to make the request, Warren snapped: "No, it could not be done. It could not be done. There are a good many things involved in that Mr. Ruby." So Ruby never got to Wash ington. That was the only interview the sioners ever had with him, and he was never allowed to reveal whatever it was be felt he could not reveal in the

Dellas fail. PLAYBOY: Well, what information did come out of the Dallas hearing-LANE Ruby restified for about three hours, but he was asked very few questrons, and most of his statements were columnered. The Commission's most fautastic omission was that Ruby was never even asked whether or not he recerved help in entering the basement of Dallas police headquarters. Ruby stated that when he shot Oswald "there was no

malier in me" The Commission had al-rendy concluded that Ruby killed Oswald in a fit of Irenzy stemming from his love of Kennedy and his harred of Osstances of Oswald's stay in the Soviet wald So, of course, they also failed to ask the logical and vital question: If LANE Winston Churchill once referred Ruby didn't late Owald, why did be kill him? It goes like this right down the line. At one point Ruly disclosed that \$6 hours before his "unpremeditated" murder of Osmald, a Dallas police officer had made a seiled suggestion to him that Owald should be killed. As he restified to this effect. Joe Tonahill, his lawyer, pussed a more to the Commission

members reading. "This is the thing that started Jack in the shooting." In other words, Ruby's own lawyer intimates that a Dallas policeman motivated Ruley to murder Oswald. Yet Ruley was not asked a single question by the Com mission on this poi

PLAYBOY: Do you believe the Commission was only going through the motions when they interviewed Ruby, and really didn't want to learn the facts? tane I don't know why the Commission beliaved as it did. Maybe Ruby was

wrong in thinking his life was in danger in Dallas. Maybe he could have testifi freely there without fear of personal in-jury. On the other hand, if he did have police assistance in shooting Oswald, he obviously might be reluctant to talk about it in the Dallas jail. The thing to remember is that when the Commission questioned Ruby, President Kennedy

Officer Tippit and Lee Oswald were all dead; Ruby was the sole known surviving protagonist of the events that began on November 22. Even if his fears were irrational, the Commission had an obligation-to the truth and to the American le-to do everything possible to allay aby's fears and find out all he knew.

The Commission never did that. And that's why the most revealing question of that entire day was posed by Jack Ruby to Earl Warren. He said to the Chief Justice of the United States: "Maybe certain people don't want to know the truth that may come out of mc. Is that

PLAYBOY: If Ruby's motives were uncertain, what about Oswald's? Has it been determined if Oswald ever expressed per sonal or political hostility toward either President Kennedy or Governor Con-

LANE There has been no allegation that Oswald did. On the contrary, Marina Oswald testified her husband thought highly of President Kennedy, particularly of the job he was doing on behalf of civil rights. Oswald expressed similar pro-Kennedy sentiments to other people whose testimony is on the record Marina Oswald also said that while they were living in the Soviet Union, Oswald read that Controlly was running for governor of Texas, and he told bur if he had been fiving in Texas at the time he would have voted for him. PLAYBOY: Would you discuss the circum-

to Russia as a mystery strapped in an enigthat category. He lived there two years, attempted to give up his American citizenship, and expressed violent anti-American and pro Communist opinions. Yet in his private diaries for the same period he consistently expresses bitter anti-Societ scutiment. On his return to the States, Oswald dictated the beginning of a book on Soviet life based on these notes, and it, too, was anti-Soviet. His mother, Marguerite Oswald, has also was a CIA agent; but I've been unable to find any independent verification for that charge. After his return to the States Owald maintained his leftist public image, but there are some strange contradictions here, too: He was ostensibly pro-Castro, but he also tried privately to jugratiate himself with an anti-Gastro Cuban exile group. Whether he was a rightist passing for a leftist, or a leftist

posing as a rightest, or an FBI or CIA agent passing for both, or possibly just plain confused. I honestly haven't been able to figure out. I'm inclined to believe he was a sincere leftist PLAYBOY: If hoth Ruby and Oswald were linked in some way with Cuban exile

groups, do you believe they were 2550 ciated in any other ways? LANE I've heard many stories to this effect, but no one has yet presented convincing proof that the two men knew each other

PLAYBOY: You mentioned that while he was in the Soviet Union, Oswald tried to renounce his American citizenship. In September 1963, he applied for a passport in New Orleans, and his application was granted. But passport regulations require the applicant to swear he has never "sought or claimed the benefits of the nationality of any foreign state." Why was Oswald granted

LANE: I don't know. His application was wired-not mailed, as is the usual procedure-to Washington, and clearance came through within 24 hours, which must be record time, considering his backgroun PLAYBOY: Is there any evidence, as you in-

timated earlier, connecting Oswald with the FB12

LANE: Well, a question might be raised by the fact that Oswald's address book contained the address and auto-license number of Dallas FBI Agent James Hosty. and this was later deleged from the police list of Oswald's addresses. And Congress-man Gerald Ford's book Postraet of an Assessor revealed that at the outset of the investigation, Texas Attorney General ner Carr and Dallas District Artorney Henry Wade informed the Com-

mission that Oswald was an undercover informant for the FBL These two Texas officials, Ford writes, disclosed that Oswald's FBI code number was 179, that he had been on the FBI payroll from September 1962 to the day of his death, and that his FBI salary was \$200 per month. Now, what did the Commis-

do upon receipt of this startling evidence? Did it launch an immediate investigan? No. Chief Counsel Rankin merely told the Commission, according to Congresman Ford: "We have a dirty rumor that is very bad for the Commission . and it is very damaging to the agencies

that are involved in it and it must be wiped out insofar as possible to do so by is Commission." So without even examining this statement by two prominent Texas officials, the Commission labeled it a "dirty rumor" and decided "it must be wiped out." They did this quite effectively-by asking J. Edgar Hoover if it were true. He denied it. What could one expect him to say- 'Oswald was work-

ing for me when he killed the Presi dent"? As far as the Commission was concerned, asking Hoover all but closed the subject. The sources of the allegation were never questioned. And since the minutes of Commission proceedings will not be made public for 75 years, we would never even have heard of the matter except for Congressman Ford's

OY: In your book, you say that "The case against Lee Harvey Oswald was comprised essentia ally of evidence from two sources: Dullas poli ce. officers and Marina Oswald." You've already ex-

indiscretion

plained why you doubt the integrity of Dallas police. Why do you doubt Marina Oswald? LANE: Marina changed her testimony so often it was difficult to determine which version the Commission accepted. At first, she declared that her hu and was innocent and hadn't planned to murder anyone. Later, she told the Commission

that the "facts" given to her by the Federal police convinced her that Oswald had, indeed, killed Kennedy in order to become famous. In other words, the police had to reveal her own husband's tology to her. She at first testified that her husband was pacific and gentle

in his relationship with her; but later on alter she'd been isolated in the costools of the FBI and Secret Service for months, she stated that Oswald was been

tal and beat her frequently. Marine orig mally said her husband never expressed hostilits toward any person in pul Later, after her confinement by the FBI and Secret Service, she testified her has hand shot at General Edwin Walker.

Marina also told FBI agents right after the assessingtion that she had never seen her husband with a pistol, and he had never owned a pistol. She also said she had never seen a telescopic sight. Yet the Commission relied on her later statement that she took the famous picture of Oswald holding a rifle equipped with a

relevante sight and wearing a pistol on his hip. And so it went: The longer she was in the custody of Federal auth ties, the longer they questioned her and "revived" her memory, the more damning Marina Owald's testimony became to her late husband. Brainwashing, it

would seem, is not an exclusive property of the Chinese PLAYBOY: Do you impugn Marina's testi mony that Oowald attempted to shoot General Walker on April 10, 1963? LANE I think the evidence does that Her testimony on this subject "evolved" during the period she was in Federal eneudy. At first, she said she knew of no

Kirk Coleman, a 14-year-old boy who lived in the house behind General Walker's. When he heard shots one night, he ran out and saw two men, one evident ly with a rifle, jump into two cars and drive away. The Commission said Owald could not drive. Coleman was shown pictures of Lee Oswald, and stated that neither of the two men looked anything

ness, and relied wholly on Marina's unsupported, self-contradictory and belased egations as to what her husband had said-not what she had seen PLAYBOY: Didn't investigators find a hotograph of Walker's house amone

swald's possessions? LANE: Yes-though, of course, that doesn't prove Oswald was the one who took it. Let's take a look at it: It's a rather mysterious photograph. It shows Walker's house, with an automobile parked in front. There is, however, a hole torn in

the photograph, deleting the back portion of the car, Marina Oswald testified that this hole had been torn in the photograph after it came into possession of the Warren Commission. She tesed: "When the FBI first showed me this photograph, I remember that the license plate, the number of the license

acts of violence committed by Oswald Later, much later, she "remembered" the Walker incident. There was only one witness to the Walker shooting: Walter

like Oswald. The Commission, of coun never called Coleman, the only eyewit-

place was on this car, and was on the

photograph. It had the white and black numbers. . . . There was no hole in the original when they showed it to me The Commission tried to get her off the subject, but she appeared fascinated by the altered photograph. "Why does the Commission not ask me about this?" she persisted. Finally the Commission lawser said, "Oll the record, please " ferred to again, Wesley Liebeler, the jamor attorney for the Commission who conducted the "off-the-record" discussion, recently said at a public meeting that he doesn't remember what was said during that off-the record conference, And this photograph is the one piece of physical evidence used to show that Oswald shot at General Walker. PLAYBOY: Do you know why or how the

photo showing the license plate was mu-tilated after the photo came into the Commission's bands LANE: No. 1 don't. The Commission per mitted a relatively inexperienced junior lawyer-Wesley Licbeler agrin-to hau-dle this aspect of the investigation. The photograph raises the very real possibility that the Warren Commission tampered with evidence. Why? Well, if the license place was dated either of the two years that Oswald spent in the Soviet Union, that would be proof that he didn't take the picture. But now you have me spec ulating. As I said earlier, I don't kno PLAYBOY: Wasn't it proved conclusively that the photo was taken with Oswald's camera?

RAYBOY: Why would Marina Oswald lie in an effort to incriminate her dead husbard?

LANE Marina Owald's testimony indicates only one thing-that she was a frightened woman, a Soviet citizen in an alien and menacing country, unable to speak English, without any means to support herself and her children, think ing she was subject to deportation at any time. Marina Oswald is the type of witness every unscrupulous prosecutor dreams of, because she's totally vulnerable to pressure. Remember, she was held incommunicado for months by the Fed eral authorities, and when she emerged, she disavowed all her original statements protesting her husband's innocence and oleheartedly supported the Warten Commission's conclusions.

PLATION: Are you charging that agents of of the United States Government intimidated a witness and persuaded her to thange her testimony?

LAMB: It seems very likely. Take a look at an Martina's own testimony before the Committion. Six existing that FRI agents are mixture.

mission. She testified that FBI agents "cold me that if I wanted to live in this country. I would have to enoperate." Marina gave the Commission the names of the FBI agents who said this to ber, but the matter was never followed up;

the Commission evidently wasn't interected in a possible effort by the FBI to tamper with a major witness. I don't know what the FBI meant by "cooperate." Perhaps nothing, But Manna also said an intergration official came

from New York to see her belove she was questioned by the FBI and "said that it would be better for me if I were to belo them." She was obviously muset by her encounters with the FBI, and plaintively told Earl Warren, who throughout the hearings adopted the role of father ligare toward her. "I think that the FBI agents knew I was alread that after everything that had happened I could not remain to live in this country, and they somewhat a very polise form, so that you could not say anything after that. They cannot be secused of anything. They approached in in a very clever, contrived way." The Chief Justice quickly changed the sub ject. So if you're asking me if Marina Oswald was pressured by the Government

to tailor her textimony to the official version, 10 sp; it certainly versus so. Martin was ulmost never subjected to what might be called convectamination. The Commission would not permit it. It was this attitude, when Martina was appearing before the seven-man Commission, that prompted one participant to refer to the viguette as "Snow White and the

Seven Dwarfs."

PLAYBOY: What proof do you have for the charge in your book that the famous Infectore photograph of Oswald holding the alleged murder weapon may have been

forget?

IAME This photograph was the single document most responsible for germade in the Small was broaded in generated the Ossall was involved in generated the Could was involved in generated the state of the Committee of the Small was supported to the work of the Committee in one hand and now Committee in the Committee in the Small was good to the Could was a support to the way and the Could was good to the Coul

PATIOF: The Warren Report seems certrin. On page 592, it states that the phod to "of Lee Harvey Onsaid holding a rifle
[was] found among Oswald's possessions
in Mrs. Ruth Pain's garage at 2515
West Fifth Street, Irving, Texas."
LANE That's wirst the Ballss police said,
but questions about the sunfernitive of

but questions about the authenticity of the picture raise doubts about its origin as well. Many newspapers ran the picture—and Life, on February 21, 1964, carried it on its cover with the caption: "Lee Oswald, with the weapons he used to kill President Kennedy and Officer

"Lee Owald, with the weapons he used to kill President Kennedy and Officer Tippit." The publication of that photograph raised questions in photographic circles around the world, and a number of photographic experts charged it was frauduleut.

fraudulent.
PLAYBOY. On what grounds?
LANE First of all, some of the pictures

reproduced to the press show a tele scopic sight on the rille, while in others there is no telescopic sight. Subsequently responsible publications such as News north and The New York Tones admitted to the Warren Commission that their art departments had retouched the photo-But even more serious is the evidence that the entire picture was faked. As published on the cover of Life, the shadow from Oscald's unse falls directly down to the middle of his mouth, where as the shadow from his body falls at about a 45-degree angle to his rear and to his right. From this, photographic ex-perts immediately concluded that either Oswald's brad had been superimposed on the picture or that the picture had been taken on a planet enjoying two suns. I repeated this observation to the Commission and they decided to test the photo's authenticity. Evidently, in order to prove that the shadows in the picture could be authentically duplicated, the FBI had one of its agents assume a sim ilar position and took a photograph of him, which was published in the Report. Sure enough, the body shadow in the FBI picture falls at the same unale as the body shadow in the Life picture. But there's just one small problem: In the photograph published by the Warren Commission, the man's head had been removed! The FBI said they did this because nothing about the head was "pertiment"-while it was obviously the only pertinent factor involved, since the qu tion was whether or not the nose and body shadows matched. But the Warren Commission showed a photo with the besid deleted as proof that the Life plio tograph was accurate. Thus we come full circle. An openly doctored photograph

f was offered to prove that another was authentic.

FIATEON: So you believe the photograph was forged as part of a plot to incrimimate Oswald?

Abst. Gworld believed that. In the Commission's 26 volumes of culture, specific discover that when Oswald was confronted with the photo in the Dallas jail, he charged that. The Dallas police have superimposed um head on that body, because that 15 a picture of my head, but not of my income, and added that he'd worked for a photographer and knew something about photography, and

therefore knew the photo was a forgery. He said he would prove it at his trial. There never was a trial, of course. Obviously, I'm not charging that Life or any other publication superimpored the head. They evidently accepted the photo in good faith, though rather uncertically. FAATOV. When did Otwold make that

- statement about the photos Didn't you Six outlier that the Commission clair there were no transcripts of his interiogation at police headquarters?
- LANE. This particular remark was report at the interrogation and who remembered fragments of Osscald's comments

PLAYBOY: You said a while ago that sesrial witnesses have reported being threatened by both Dallas policement and Federal agents for contradicting the Covernment version of the assassination

Have there been other instances? LANE: Some extraordinary things have Imppened in Dallas to people who gave testimony contradicting the version that Oswald was the lone assassin of President Kennedy and Other Toppit Since I wrote my book, much more has come to light. I mentioned earlier the Acquilla Clemons episode, her life was threatened by a Dallas police officer—or so she thought—after she said that Tuppit's murderer was not Oswald. Another witnew to the Tippit slaying, Warren Reynolds, was one block away from the shooting when he saw a man run past him. carrying a pistol. Reynolds described the man to the police on November 22, and since his description was completely at variance with that of Oswald, he was never taken to the line-up at police headquarters. On January 21, 1964, Reynolds was questioned by agents of the FBI and shown pictures of Oswald, but he said again that Oswald was not the man he had seen fleeing the murder scene, Two days later Reynolds was in the basement of his used-car salesroom and a man behind a filing cubinet shot him through the head. Reynolds was on the critical list, but he survived. He later said thus he believed the attack on him was con nected with what he saw on November 22. A man was subsequently arrested and charged with the attempted murder of Reynolds. His name was Darrel Wayne Garner, and he admitted he'd phoned his

shot Warren Reynolds." But suddenly a young "exotic dancer" named Betty Mooney McDonald showed up with an alibi for Garner, claiming she had spent the night of the shooting with him. Gar ner was freed on the basis of her unsup ported testimony, but a few days later Miss McDonald was arrested on a disor derly conduct charge after allegedly fighting with her roommate, and was

taken to Dallas police headquartershere her dead body was found one hour after arrival. The Dullas police said she hanged herself. Miss McDouald had been employed as a support in Jack Ruby's Carousel Club, Bec use of incidents like these, many Dallas residents who knew something contrary to the "official version" of the assay

were afraid to offer their nonconforming

Still another witness to the Tippi daying-who also, you will recall, Tippit's municier was short and stocky was Domingo Benavides When I vis ired Dallas with a film crew some months aga, Benavides agreed to speak

to us, but the night before the projected interview, two Dallas homicide detertives usered De Automo, our director, in there would be no interview and that we were being investigated. Benavides never showed up for the appointment When I was book in Dallas just after the publication of my book, I appeared on a local rudio show and asked amone

who had any information about him to contact me. Benavides' lather in-law, a man named Jackson, called Mr. Jackson told me Beran ides was alraid to talk and had previously fled the Dallas area in fear, Jackson further revealed that after Berravides failed to identify Oswald as Tippit's murderer, Benavides' brother who resembled him, was shot through the head and killed. Benavides quit his joh and was replaced by another Mexican American bearing a resemblance to him. Within weeks, that man was also shot through the head by an unknown

person, but he survived. The assilants of these two men have never been apprehended by the Dallas police. Be-navides was convinced he was the intended victim and fled Dallas, but his father-in-law, Mr. Jackson, went to the police and told them he planned to initrate his own investigation of the two assaulas, since the police had made no progress. The police told him not to Some time later, Jackson heard a noise on As he stood silhouetted in the doorsay. shortly before the assassination. This a man jumped out from behind son

bushes and fired one shot, perrowly missing him. Jackson now believes that these episodes are part of an organized effort in Dallas to silence Domingo Benavides sister in law and "advised her he had

PLAYBOY: Where is Benavides now? Have you been able to trace him & The last I heard he was in Lancaster, Texas. But I can't compel him to speak to me. The Dallas police advised him not to, and he evidently respects their advice

PLAYBOY. Do you believe witnesses are being systematically threatened-or h LANE: I don't know, but things have been

happening in Dallas that are more remi niscent of James Bond than of Sherlock Holmes. For example, immediately after Ruby killed Oswald, two newspapermen ent to Ruby's apartment with his roommate. George Senator, Senator, by the way, has since indicated that he knew of Ruby's plan to shoot Oswald before the event. What he told these two newsmen I don't know, but within a few months they were both dead. One of them James F. Koethr, a respected stall writer ion The Dallas Times Herald, was for strangled in his apartment. The Dullas ace list it as an musolved killing

PLAYBOY: And the other newsmin LANE That was Bill Hunter, a prize winning reporter for the Long Beach alifornia, Independent Press Telegrom He was shot by a local police officer while he sit reading in the prew room of the

Long Beach public safety building. The police said it was an accident. PLAYBOY: Do you believe these two men

were victims of a conspiracy? tane. Not necessarily. The murders could be coincidences, but there are too

many coincidences in this case. Penn Jones, Jr., editor of a Texas paper, the Midlothian Mirror, has investigated these events. He told me that he believes a total of 14 witnesses have died myste riously since November 22, 1963. William Whaley, the cabdriver who allegedly drove Oswald from the assassination serne and whose original testimony was very inconvenient to the Commission Report. was killed in a car crush-the first cab driver to die in an accident in Dallas in 50 years. Lee]. Bowers, who, as I already mentioned, told me in a filmed interview that he had seen smoke or flames coming from behind the wooden fence on the grassy knoll, was killed a few months after I saw him-sho in an auto mobile crash. When I was in Dallas with the film crew, some witnesses said they were afraid to talk to us because of the death of the two reporters and the intim idation of other witnesses. For example the Commission reveals that a man giving his name as Lee Oswald priced a car

man talked loudly to the salesmen about going back to Russie, as I mentioned earlier, and said he expected to come into a large sum of money soon. The Commission concluded that Oswald couldn't drive and that he wasn't there that day. Anyway, we spoke to two of the auto salesmen and they told us that Bogard, the man who tried to sell Oswald the car, was brutally bruten and hospitalized after testifying. He subsequently fled Dallas. These two salesmen told us, If you take this and the fact the re-

porters have been killed, and all the other peculiar things happening in Dallas, we're just afraid to be in your film Thus, important witnesses seem to luve been terrorized into silence or conformity PLAYBOY: Isn't it possible that some of these people were only reacting fearfully

to rumors and to events unconnected with the assassination? LANE: Yes, it's possible. But what I think

emerges is a clear pattern of intimidation of nonconforming witnesses. For example, a Dallas housewife, Wilma Tice, informed the Commission that she had seen lack Ruby at Parkland Hospital while the doctors were struggling to save the President's life. Her testimony corroborates that of Seth Kantor, the Scripps-Howard newsman who knew Ruby well and who you'll recall also saw him at the hospital. But the Commission disregarded these two witnesses and concluded that Ruby was not at Parkland Hospital. In any case, just after Mrs. Tice was invited to tell her story to the Commission, but before anyone

except the Commission knew she was to testify, she began receiving anonymous phone calls. One caller, for instance, warned her, "It would pay you to keep your month shut." Then, one night, Mrs Tice was awakened by a call. There was no one on the line, but suddenly the doorbell rang and she went downstairs to find she couldn't open her front door. She then went to the back door and found it was barricaded by a ladder. en she finally testified, Mrs. Tice described these events, but the Commission lawyer was not interested in reassuring her of her safety. In fact, he even encouraged her not to testify. Here is a witness who believed there was a connection between her invitation to testify and the subsequent efforts to intimidate her by anonymous phone calls and by barricading her house. There is nothing more serious in any investigation than an attempt to tamper with a witness, and Mrs. Tice told the Commission she was so frightened she "wouldn't answer the phone anymore." And what did the mission counsel reply? Did he order

an immediate investigation? No, he rimply dismissed her PLAYBOY: Do you think this pattern of

intimidation-if it exists-has official sanction? LANE: I think some aspects of the effort to silence witnesses have the sanction of the FBI, the Secret Service and the Dallas police Just to take one example: Mrs. Jean Hill, a Dallas schoolteacher, indi-

cates she was intimidated—in a slightly more subtle fashion—by the Federal police. Mrs. Hill was standing very close to the Presidential motorcade on November 22. She told me that the shots came from "the grassy knoll"-in fact, she coined the phrase. She also testified to the Commission that the shots came from there. I first spoke to her in February 1964, and when I saw her again recently, she told me that after our interview, "the FBI was here for days. They practically lived here. They just didn't like what I told them I saw and heard when the President was assass When I asked her for a filmed and taperecorded interview, she refused. She told me: "For two years I have told the truth, but I have two children to support and

I am a public school teacher. A school

authority said it would be beg not to talk above the assassination, and I just can't go through it all again," Mrs. Hill added I can't believe the Warren Report. I know it's not true, because I was there when it happened, but I can't talk about it anymore, because I don't want the FBI here constantly and I want to continue to teach here. I hope you don't think I'm a coward, but I cannot talk about the case anymore." There is definitely an atmosphere of fear in Dallas surround ing the whole question of the assassing-

PLAYBOY: But many people did consent to

interviews with you tane Yes, and those people are the real roes of this whole affair. They're the ones who make me believe that there still is hope for the truth here in America. One of these people, S. M. Holland a middle-aged Texas railroad man, told me in a filmed interview that he had witnessed the assassination from the tailroad bridge. He said he knew that at least one shot came from behind the wooden fence on the grassy knoll. He told me that his statements during our interview might lose him his job, but he added. "When the time comes that an American can't tell the truth because the Government doesn't, that's the time to give the country back to the Indians-if they'll take it." In my opinion, one man like S. M. Holland is worth a handful of eminent officials, when it comes to establishing the facts.

PLAYBOY: If witnesses have been intimi-dated—even murdered—for challenging the official version of the assassination, doesn't that place your own life in

E: Well, I hope not, because I'm not very heroic. In fact, I'm a bit of a coward. But I've become so publicly identified with this case for so long that if anything happened to me, it would only deepen and confirm suspicions. PLAYBOY: Have you been placed under

official surveillance in any way since you initiated your investigation? LANE: Well, there are 1555 files dealing with the assassination in the National Archives; 508 of these were classified when I was there last, and some of the material can't be seen by anyone for 75 years. Of the remaining documents, so for I have discovered a total of 35 filesprepared for the Warren Commission by the FBI and Secret Service-dealing with nothing more than my speeches around the country. They make fascinating reading. One file is almost a complaint by a bored Secret Service agent compelled to listen to many of my lectures. He writes, "I enclose the seven reels of tape which we made of Lane's lecture here in San Francisco, and you will note that what he said in these speeches differs not at all from the testi-

mission." Lee Harcey Oswald was interrogated 12 hours without a taped or stenographic record of his statements set FBI and Secret Service agents can trainse around the country on the heels of a relatively obscure New York lower. tape recording every word he utters. It's all a question of priorities, I guess, PLAYBOY Do you think they're still following you

LANE: I don't know.

PLAYBOY: Have you had any other trouble with the FBI or Secret Service? LANE. When you're involved in a case like this there's always the risk of our cumbing to a touch of paranola. I've tried to avoid that. But I ass stopped once in 1964 outside my Manh apartment by two men who identified themselves as FBI agents. It was pour ing, and I had a cab waiting. They asked me if I was Mark Lane and when I ad mitted it, they demanded that I hand over my attaché case. I refused, of course, and they then appropried they had information that I possessed a file stolen from the office of the FBL I will. "Oh is a file missing?" and one of the agents replied "This is no time for levity." I was inclined to agree, as I was getting soaked to the skin standing there-they were wearing srench coats-so I told them to have J. Edgar Hoover write a letter if he had anything to ask mr, and not send his flunkies to accost me on the street and demand my possessions. I started for the cab, but they surrounded me-as well as two men can surround one man-and we almost had a little scuffle on the side walk before I was able to shove one of them aside and get into the taxi. I never heard anything more about their missing file. Our investigators in Dallas have

been openly followed by uniformed Dallas cops, but that may be standard operating procedure there, so I try not to let it concern me. PLAYBOY: Do you know if your phones are

LANE: An electronics expert examined my phone on three separate occasions, and each time he said they were being tapped. But I'd be surprised if the FBI wasn't tapping my lines, since they tap so many others. In fact, I'd feel a bit neglected if mine escaped scrutiny. They may have this apartment bugged, too, but we haven't bothered to check that out. We really don't care too much any more. We've adjusted. But I wouldn't be surprised if Mr. Hoover reads this interview before Mr. Hefner does

PLAYBOY: Was there any Government essure to prevent publication of your

LANE: Unfortunately, publishing companies are sulnerable to such pressu many of their books are purchased in lots by Government agencies; a number of publishers are engaged in delicate mony which he gave to the Warren Com-

mergers skirting the antitrust laws; and everybody is open to harassment by the c Internal Revenue Service. My own pub lisher, Holt, Rinelatt and Winston, I un from the FBI. An awisam direct pressure from the FBI. An awisam director of the FBI called a Holt executive and mged him not to publish my book. He said that "John," meaning I Edgar, "the Bureau," meaning the FBI, and "I;

meaning him, would be very nose il Holt did. When the Holt executive said Holt was committed to the book, the FBI grant told him that this decision would not be the only consideration in John's mind when he picked a publisher for his next book. Until that converse, tion. Holt had published many of Houser's works-including one called

Masters of Desett, which I imagine is a Lind of autobiography. PLAYSOY: II what you've lead to say about

the assissination is true, why hasn't the Kennedy lamily spoken out? If the President was really killed by a conspiracy, wouldn't the Kennedys be the first to

raise a public outciv? tank The Kennedys are beginning to speak out, although rather softly. Rich and Goodsein, who was President Kem nede's White House aide and speech writer and is now part of Bobby Kenne dy's inner circle, recently criticized the Warren Commission and made a mild public request for a new investigation of the assissitation. I can't believe Goodwin would have said this without first clearing it with the Kennedy family; so I think the Kennedys may share his opinione Another Kennedy aide, Edwar Silberling, Chief of the Organized Crime and Racketeering Section of the Justice Department under Robert Kennedy, has written an introduction to an early anti-Warren Commission book. Silberling says this book "raises questions that deserve to be answered concerning the Warren who's at fault, although by their possibility that a conspiracy existed to beliavior, Warren and his colleagues destroy President Kennedy," Hugh have desecrated John Kennedy's memo-Trevor-Roper published a major attack on the Warren Commission Report in the London Sunday Times. He told me later he indirectly received a message from Senator Robert Kennedy saying,

"Keep up the good work."
PLAYSOY. Why hasn't Senator Kennedy spoken out directly? LANE. That question must be directed to him for an authentic reply. But I'll give you my opinion. The assauration of President Kennedy is the most delicated and the most potentially explosive, issuein American life. The Kennedys have a human motive to avenge their martyred

brother, and they also have a political motive to do it in the most effective way. Remember, the Kennedys are waging a long-term political war with President mson, and the assassination issue may well play a very vital role in that straggle. I think it's significant that whenever

a Kennedy is asked about the Warren Report, he always says—and I para-phrase—"Fve never rend it, but I accept it." They've never read it! To me those statements indicate that the Kenned are keeping their options open and lading their time until they can amounce We have now read the Report-and we find it false

PLAYSOY: Romors are disculating that President Johnson is trying to pressure Robert and Jacqueline Kennedy into blocking publication of William Manchester's book on the President's death, or at least into deleting those sections most hostile to L.B.J. Is there any truth im this?

tant: I'm not privy to President Johnson's thinking on the subject. But I have heard such stories. The Hall Street Lour nel recently repeated that the Kennedy family "fears the wrath" of the President because of the revolutions in the book PLAYBOY: The Watter Commission was a Presidential Commission, appointed by

for its alleged transgressions? LANE: Yes, absolutely. Harry Truman used to say about the Executive desk: "The back stops here." President John son appointed the Commission and seleased its members. He is responsible for their subsequent behavior, and he is responsible for the last that the most vital material in this case is classified topsecret until September 2039. President Johnson is responsible for the fact that the entered material evidence—the rifle. the lyallets, the pistol, the amopsy X rays and photos-have either disappeared or been left to the tender mercus of the FBI, the Secret Service and the Dallas police With one stroke of his pen, the President could make all this material available to the American people. He has chosen not to do so. It's not only Earl

ry. The Chief Justice and his six cohorts were just from men for Lyndon Baines Johnson. The buck stops at his desk PLAYSOY: New York Post columnist Pete Hamilt recently wrote that everywhere he traveled in America, he came acrow a theory about the assassination. "The theory says that somehow, in some way Lyndon Baines Johnson was respon sible." On September 1, 1966, The New York Times' Moscow correspondent reported that "the Kremlin was mounting a campaign to challenge the Report's verac-

ity and, by innuendo, to implicate President Johnson in the assassination of President Kennedy." Intentionally of not, aren't you adding fuel to the fire of these unsupported rumors?

desire is to find out who killed our Perso dent and why he was killed. I've appeared on radio and TV shows all across

the country and I've heard these run ors myself. I've been asked many times if Johnson was involved. I know these rumers have been strengthened by the recent publication of letters from Jack Rubs, smuggled out of the Dailas juil. One of these, a note to another prisoner, reads. The only one who had anything to gain by Kennedy's death was Johnson Figure that out." I personally think the rumors are unfortunate, but the awful

ng is that mutil the archives are opened, until the facts are known, such speculation will persist-and will grow. Of course, I don't believe President Johnson had anything to do with the as saveination-but mutil all the facts are known, I cannot have my disbelief on the evidence President Johnson has a personal and political stake in dispell these rumors ouce and for all. Only the facts can replace conjecture. I've appealed to the President to open up the Varioual Archives assemble the dence and allow independent, importial Johnson. Do you hold lum responsible and qualified investigators in the fields of bullistics, forensics, handwriting and photographic audisis to examine every document and render an objective verdict to the American people. Since Presi dent Johnson has nothing to hide, he should deal honerly with the American people by ascertaining and releasing all the facts of the assistantion. Until he does, there will be a shroud of suspicion

hanging over his head, and over all our democratic institutions. And if he does not act voluntarily, then the American people, through the legislature and the courts, will have to act for him. We have waited for the truth too long-three years too long. PLAYBOY: Do you believe you will succeed in discrediting the Warren Report and initiating a new investigation?

credited before the rest of the world. When Waggoner Carr, the attorney general of Texas, read the Report, he told the press "It is a document which will last through the ages." I do not be lieve the Warren Report will survive the next six months. In fact, a Harris poll published last October in The Washing. ton Post revealed that even then only one of three Americans believed Oswald was the lone assassin. History may come to know the Report as the "Warren Whitewash", it may be ranked with Teapor Dome and the Reichstag Fire trial as a synonym for political cover-up and cynical manipulation of the trut PLAYBOY: You've devoted the past three years of your life to a critical investigation of the assassination. How long do

you plan to continue your efforts? LANE: Until the American people know how and why and by whom our President was killed on November 22, 1963,

¥